

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

High significance measurement of the terrestrial neutrino flux with the Borexino detector

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 2016 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 718 062025 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1742-6596/718/6/062025) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 151.61.207.113 This content was downloaded on 17/10/2016 at 09:29

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

High significance measurement of the terrestrial neutrino flux with the Borexino detector

A Ianni^{e,yz}, M Agostini^z, K Altenmüller^m, S Appel^m, G Bellini^h, J Benziger^{*u*}, D Bick^{*q*}, G Bonfini^{*e*}, D Bravo^{*o*}, B Caccianiga^{*h*}, F Calaprice^k, A Caminata^a P Cavalcante^e, A Chepurnov^p, D D'Angelo^h, S Davini^z, A Derbin^l, L Di Noto^a I Drachnev^z A Etenko^g, G Fiorentini^{yw}, K Fomenko^b, D Franco^c, F Gabriele^e, C Galbiati^k, C Ghiano^a, M Giammarchi^h, M Göger-Neff^m, A Goretti^k, M Gromov^v, C Hagner^q, E Hungerford^r, A. Ianni^k, K Jedrzejczak^d, M Kaiser^q, V Kobychev^f, D Korablev^b, G Korga^r, D Kryn^c, M Laubenstein^e, B Lehnert^y, E Litvinovich^{g,yk}, F Lombardi^e, P Lombardi^h, L Ludhova^h, G Lukyanchenko^g, I Machulin^{g,yk}, S Manecki^o, W Maneschgⁱ, F Mantovani^{yw}, S Marcocci^a, E Meroni^h, M Meyer^q, L Miramonti^h, M Misiaszek^{d,e}, M Montuschi^e, P Mosteiro^k, V Muratova^l, B Neumair^m, L Oberauer^m, M Obolensky^c, F Ortica^j, M Pallavicini^a, L Papp^o, L Perasso^a A Pocarⁿ, G Ranucci^h, A Razeto^e, A Re^h, B Ricci^{yw}, A Romani^j, R Roncin^{c,e} N Rossi^e, S Schönert^m, D Semenov^l H Simgenⁱ, M Skorokhvatov^{g,yk}, O Smirnov^b, A Sotnikov^b, S Sukhotin^g, Y Suvorov^{s,g}, R Tartaglia^e, G Testera^a, J Thurn^y, M Toropova^g, E Unzhakov^l, A Vishneva^b, R B Vogelaar^o, \mathbf{F} von Feilitzsch^m, H Wang^w, S Weinz^t, J Winter^t, M Wojcik^d, M Wurm^t, Z Yokley^o, O Zaimidoroga^b, S Zavatarelli^a, K Zuber^y, $\mathbf{G} \mathbf{Zuzel}^d$

[a] Dipartimento di Fisica, Università e INFN, Genova 16146, Italy

[b] Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russia

[c] APC, Univ. Paris Diderot, CNRS/IN2P3, CEA/Irfu, Obs. de Paris Sorbonne Paris Cité, France

[d] M. Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagellonian University, Krakow, 30059, Poland

[e] INFN Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, Assergi 67010, Italy

[f] Kiev Institute for Nuclear Research, Kiev 06380, Ukraine

[g] NRC Kurchatov Institute, Moscow 123182, Russia

[h] Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi e INFN, Milano 20133, Italy

[i] Max-Plank-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg 69029, Germany

[j] Dipartimento di Chimica, Università e INFN, Perugia 06123, Italy

[k] Physics Department, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

[1] St. Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina 188350, Russia

[m] Physik Department, Technische Universität München, Garching 85747, Germany

[n] Physics Department, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA 01003, USA

[o] Physics Department, Virginia Polytechnic Institue and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

[p] Lomonosov Moscow State University, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow 119234, Russia

XIV International Conference on Topics in Astroparticle and Underground Physics (TAUP 2015) IOP Publishing Journal of Physics: Conference Series **718** (2016) 062025 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/718/6/062025

[q] Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Germany

[r] Department of Physics, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA

[s] Physics and Astronomy Department, University of California Los Angeles (UCLA), Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

[t] Institute of Physics and Excellence Cluster PRISMA, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany

[u] Chemical Engineering Department, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

[v] Lomonosov Moscow State University Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, 119234 Moscow, Russia.

[w] Physics and Astronomy Department, University of California Los Angeles (UCLA), Los Angeles, California 90095, USA.

[z] Gran Sasso Science Institute (INFN), 67100 L'Aquila, Italy

[y] Department of Physics, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany.

[zy] Laboratorio Subterráneo de Canfranc, Paseo de los Ayerbe S/N, 22880 Canfranc Estacio Huesca, Spain.

[yw] Dipartimento di Fisica e Scienze della Terra Università degli Studi di Ferrara e INFN, Via Saragat 1-44122, Ferrara, Italy.

[yk] National Research Nuclear University MEPhI (Moscow Engineering Physics Institute), 31 Kashirskoe Shosse, Moscow, Russia.

Abstract. We review the geoneutrino measurement with Borexino from 2056 days of data taking.

1. Borexino and Geoneutrinos

Borexino is an unsegmented massive liquid scintillator detector installed in the Gran Sasso underground Laboratory (Italy). Borexino has been collecting data since May 2007. The active mass in Borexino consists of 280 tons of organic liquid scintillator, pseudocumene (PC; C_9H_{12}) with the addition of PPO at 1.5 g/l [1]. The liquid scintillator is contained within a 100 μm thick nylon transparent vessel 4.25 m in radius. 2212 8-inch photomultipliers (PMTs) are installed on a Stainless Steel Sphere (SSS) which contains the liquid scintillator and about 900 tons of pseudocumene buffer with the addition of a light quencher (DMP) [2]. The SSS is built inside a water Cherenkov detector for vetoing muons and muon related events [3]. The water tank also serves as shielding against neutrons from the underground environment. For each event inside the active mass the energy and the time distribution of hit PMTs are measured.

Borexino is a high radio purity detector: all materials were carefully selected. A number of purification campaigns were performed to reduce the intrinsic background, namely ²³⁸U, ²³²Th, ²¹⁰Pb, ²¹⁰Po, ²²²Rn and ⁸⁵Kr. In Borexino calibrations [4] with radioactive sources have been performed. These calibrations allowed to accurately determine the energy scale and to study the uniformity of the light response [5].

Due to the high level of radio purity, Borexino is also an excellent detector for electron anti-neutrinos. These neutrinos are detected by the so-called inverse-beta decay reaction:

$$\bar{\nu}_e + p \to e^+ + n \tag{1}$$

The threshold of Eq. (1) is equal to 1.806 MeV. The neutron from the capture on hydrogen produces a 2.22 γ -ray. In the Borexino liquid scintillator the capture time is of the order of 260 μ s. The visible energy due to the ionization of the positron is related to the $\bar{\nu}_e$ energy by: $E_{vis} = E_{\nu} - 0.784$ MeV. The positron produces a prompt signal. The γ -ray from the neutron capture produces a delayed signal. The space and time correlation between the prompt and delayed signals offers a very powerful tagging for this reaction.

Geoneutrinos are $\bar{\nu}_e$ produced by β decays of long-lived radioactive elements in the Earth's crust and mantle. The main sources of geoneutrinos are: ²³⁸U, ²³²Th and ⁴⁰K. The energy

XIV International Conference on Topics in Astroparticle and Underground Physics (TAUP 2015) IOP Publishing Journal of Physics: Conference Series **718** (2016) 062025 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/718/6/062025

spectrum of electron anti-neutrinos from these sources is shown in Fig. 1. Only neutrinos from 238 U and 232 Th can be detected by Eq. (1). These radioactive elements are referred to as Heat Producing Elements (HPE) because they produce an important fraction of the heat radiated by the Earth. At present, geochemical and geodynamical models of the Earth predict that as much as 50% of the total heat radiated by the Earth comes from HPE (radiogenic heat). Geoneutrinos could offer the possibility to make a direct measurement of the radiogenic heat and of the distribution of the HPE in the crust and mantle. On the basis of our understanding the crust contains ppm level of HPE, while the mantle ppb level. However, the amount of HPE in the mantle is model dependent and there is no direct measurement. The observation of geoneutrinos from the Earth is of the order of 10^6 cm⁻²s⁻¹, which turns to about 50 events/kton/year. Therefore, the measurement of geoneutrinos is difficult and could be limited by statistics. Results from more than one experiment are needed to accurately determine the radiogenic heat and the mantle composition in HPE.



Figure 1. Electron anti-neutrino spectrum from 238 U (solid line), 232 Th (dashed-dotted line) and 40 K (dashed line). The vertical line shows the energy threshold for the inverse-beta decay reaction.

The idea of studying geoneutrinos goes back in time to 1966-1969 [6]. In 1984 a careful study of the geoneutrino signal was published by L.M. Krauss and collaborators [7]. In 1998 the idea of using solar neutrino and reactor neutrino detectors, namely Borexino and KamLAND, for detecting geoneutrinos was put forward [8]. First geoneutrino observations were done by KamLAND [9] and Borexino [10]. The latest geoneutrino results are reported in [11] and [12].

2. High sensitivity measurement of geoneutrino in Borexino

The present measurement of geoneutrinos in Borexino corresponds to 2056 days before any selection cut [12]. These data have been collected in the period December 15, 2007 - March 8, 2015. We discard events occurring within 2 ms of every muon crossing the outer water Cherenkov detector and within 2 s of muons crossing the inner liquid scintillator detector to reject neutrons and long-lived cosmogenic radioactivity, respectively. This cut reduces the live-time to 1841.9 days. For the present data set Table 1 summarizes the estimated backgrounds for $\bar{\nu}_e$ candidates, expressed in number of events. The combined upper limit is obtained by Monte Carlo. The detection efficiency is measured to be $(84.2 \pm 1.5)\%$. The total efficiency-corrected exposure

for the present data set is 907 ± 44 ton×yr. We have identified 77 $\bar{\nu}_e$ candidates passing all the selection cuts [?]. The $\bar{\nu}_e$ signal-to-background ratio is ~100.

Table 1. Estimated backgrounds for $\bar{\nu}_e$ given in number of events. Upper limits are given for 90% C.L.

⁹ Li- ⁸ He	$0.194^{+0.125}_{-0.089}$
- TI LIG	0.005
Accidental coincidences	$0.221 {\pm} 0.004$
Time correlated	$0.035\substack{+0.029\\-0.028}$
(α, n) in scintillator	$0.165 {\pm} 0.010$
(α, n) in buffer	< 0.51
Fast n's (μ in WT)	< 0.01
Fast n's (μ in rock)	< 0.43
untagged muons	$0.12 {\pm} 0.01$
Fission in PMTs	$0.032 {\pm} 0.003$
²¹⁴ Bi- ²¹⁴ Po	$0.009 {\pm} 0.013$
Total	$0.78^{+0.13}_{-0.10}$
	< 0.65(combined)



Figure 2. Prompt light yield spectrum, in units of photoelectrons (p.e.), of antineutrino candidates and best-fit. The best-fit shows the total contribution of geoneutrino, reactor neutrino and background (yellow colored area) and reactor neutrino (orange colored area) assuming the chondritic ratio. The result of a separate fit with U (blue colored area) and Th (light-blue colored area) set as free and independent parameters is also shown.

An unbinned likelihood fit of the energy spectrum of selected prompt $\bar{\nu}_e$ candidate events has been performed. In Fig. 2 the selected candidates and the fit result are shown. Using the chondritic ratio, m(Th)/m(U) = 3.9, our best fit yields $S_{geo} = 23.7^{+6.5}_{-5.7}(stat)^{+0.9}_{-0.6}(sys)$ events $[43.5^{+11.8}_{-10.4}(stat)^{+2.7}_{-2.4}(sys)$ TNU¹] and $S_{react} = 10.5$

¹ 1TNU=1event/year/10³²protons

XIV International Conference on Topics in Astroparticle and Underground Physics (TAUP 2015) IOP Publishing Journal of Physics: Conference Series **718** (2016) 062025 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/718/6/062025

 $52.7^{+8.5}_{-7.7}(stat)^{+0.7}_{-0.9}(sys)$ events $[96.6^{+15.6}_{-14.2}(stat)^{+4.9}_{-5.0}(sys)$ TNU]. In Fig. 3 we show the 1, 3 and 5 σ contours from the log-likelihood fit. Borexino alone observes geoneutrinos with 5.9σ significance (Fig. 3). The null hypothesis for geoneutrino observation has a probability equal to 3.6×10^{-9} .



Figure 3. Best-fit contours for 1, 3 and 5σ for the statistics reported in this paper.

From the present data set we can infer the signal from the mantle. Using a detailed computation of the contribution from the crust [13] it turns out that the corresponding geoneutrino signal is $S_{geo}(\text{crust}) = (23.4 \pm 2.8)$ TNU. The signal from Borexino is $S_{geo} = 43.5^{+12.1}_{-10.7}$ TNU. Considering the experimental likelihood profile for S_{geo} and a gaussian profile for S_{crust} : $S_{mantle} = S_{geo} - S_{crust} = 20.1^{+15.1}_{10.3}$ TNU. The hypothesis $S_{mantle} = 0$ is rejected at 98% C.L.

Understanding the Earth's energy budget is a fundamental question for plate tectonics and mantle convection. The present geoneutrino data from Borexino for the radiogenic heat corresponds to 23-36 TW for the best fit. Adopting the chondritic mass ratio above and a potassium-to-uranium mass ratio $m(K)/m(U) = 10^4$, the total measured terrestrial radiogenic power is $P(U + Th + K) = 33^{+28}_{-20}$ TW, to be compared with the global terrestrial power output $P_{tot} = 47 \pm 2$ TW [14].

In conclusion, Borexino-only data measure geoneutrinos with 5.9σ significance. The background level in Borexino allows to perform a real time spectroscopy of geoneutrinos and probe the contribution due to the mantle.

References

- [1] Alimonti G et al (Borexino Collaboration) 2009 Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 600 568
- [2] Chen M et al 1999 Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 420 189
- [3] Bellini G et al (Borexino Collaboration) 2011 JINST 6 P05005
- [4] Back H et al (Borexino Collaboration) 2012 JINST 7 10 P10018
- [5] Bellini G et al (Borexino Collaboration) 2014 Phys. Rev. D 89 112007
- [6] Eder G 1966 Nucl. Phys. 78; Marx G 1969 Czech J. Phys. B19
- [7] Krauss L, Glashow S, Schramm D 1984 Nature 310
- [8] Raghavan R et al 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 635; Rotschild C, Calaprice F and Chen M 1998 Geo. Res. Lett.
 25 1083
- [9] Araki T et al (KamLAND collaboration) 2005 Nature 436 499
- [10] Bellini G et al (Borexino collaboration) 2010 Phys. Lett. B687 299
- [11] Araki T et al (KamLAND collaboration) 2005 Nature 436 499
- [12] Agostini M et al (Borexino Collaboration) 2015 Phys. Rev. D 92 031101

- [13] Huang Y, Chubakov V, Manotavani F, Rudnick R and McDonough W 2013 Geochemistry Geophysics, Geosystems 14 2003
- [14] Davies J and Davies D 2010 Solid Earth 1 5