Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research, A

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/nima



Distillation and stripping pilot plants for the JUNO neutrino detector: Design, operations and reliability

P. Lombardi^{a,b,*}, M. Montuschi^{c,d}, A. Formozov^{a,b,e,f}, A. Brigatti^{a,b}, S. Parmeggiano^{a,b}, R. Pompilio^{a,b}, W. Depnering^g, S. Franke^h, R. Gaigherⁱ, J. Joutsenvaara^j, A. Mengucci^k, E. Meroni^{a,b}, H. Steiger^h, F. Mantovani^{c,d}, G. Ranucci^{a,b}, G. Andronico¹, V. Antonelli^{a,b}, M. Baldoncini^{c,d}, M. Bellato^m, E. Bernier^{n,o}, R. Brugnera^{m,p}, A. Budano^{n,o}, M. Buscemi^{1,r}, S. Bussino^{n,o}, R. Caruso^{1,r}, D. Chiesa^{i,q}, C. Clementi^{s,t}, D. Corti^m, F. Dal Corso^m, X.F. Ding^{a,u}, S. Dusini^m, A. Fabbri^{n,o}, G. Fiorentini^{c,d}, R. Ford^{a,v}, G. Galet^p, A. Garfagnini^{m,p}, M. Giammarchi^{a,b}, A. Giaz^{m,p}, M. Grassi^{a,w}, A. Insolia^{l,r}, R. Isocrate^m, I. Lippi^m, Y. Malyshkin^o, S.M. Mari^{n,o}, F. Marini^{m,p}, C. Martellini^{n,o}, A. Martini^k, M. Mezzetto^m, L. Miramonti^{a,b}, S. Monforte¹, P. Montini^{n,o}, M. Nastasi^{i,q}, F. Ortica^{s,t}, A. Paoloni^k, D. Pedretti^{x,y}, N. Pelliccia^{s,t}, E. Previtali^{i,q}, A.C. Re^{a,b}, B. Ricci^{c,d}, D. Riondino^{n,o}, A. Romani^{s,t}, P. Saggese^{a,b}, G. Salamanna^{n,o}, F.H. Sawy^{m,p}, G. Settanta^{n,o}, M. Sisti^{i,q}, C. Sirignano^{m,p}, L. Stanco^m, V. Strati^{c,d}, G. Verde¹, L. Votano^k ^a INFN — Sezione di Milano, Via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy ^b Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy ^c Dipartimento di Fisica e Scienze della Terra, Università di Ferrara, Via Saragat 1, I-44122 Ferrara, Italy ^d INFN — Sezione di Ferrara, Via Saragat 1, I-44122 Ferrara, Italy e Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia ^f Lomonosov Moscow State University Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, 119234 Moscow, Russia ⁸ Institut für Physik and Excellence Cluster PRISMA, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, 55128 Mainz, Germany h Physik-Department, Technische Universität München James-Franck-Str. 1, 85748 Garching, Germany ⁱ INFN — Sezione di Milano Bicocca, P.zza della Scienza 3, I-20126 Milano, Italy ^j Kerttu Saalasti Institute, University of Oulu, FIN-90014 Oulu, Finland ^k INFN — Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Via Fermi 40, I-00044 Frascati (RM), Italy

- ¹ INFN Sezione di Catania, Via Santa Sofia 64, I-95123 Catania, Italy ^m INFN — Sezione di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, I-35131 Padova, Italy
- ⁿ Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università di Roma Tre, Via della Vasca Navale 84, I-00146 Roma, Italy
- ° INFN Sezione di Roma Tre, Via della Vasca Navale 84, I-00146 Roma, Italy
- P Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, I-35131 Padova, Italy
- ^q Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano Bicocca, P.zza della Scienza 3, I-20126 Milano, Italy
- ^r Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Catania, Via Santa Sofia 64, I-95123 Catania, Italy
- ^s Dipartimento di Chimica, Biologia e Biotecnologie, Università di Perugia, via Elce di Sotto 8, I-06123 Perugia, Italy
- ^t INFN Sezione di Perugia, Via Pascoli, I-06123 Perugia, Italy
- ^u Gran Sasso Science Institute, Via Crispi 7, I-67100 L'Aquila, Italy
- ^v SNOLAB, Lively, ON, P3Y 1N2 Canada
- W APC Laboratory IN2P3, Paris, France
- ^x INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, Viale dell'Università 2, I-35020 Legnaro (PD), Italy
- ^y Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Informazione, Università di Padova, Via Gradenigo 6/b, 35131 Padova, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Keywords: LAB Radiopurity Liquid scintillator Attenuation length This paper describes the design, construction principles and operations of the distillation and stripping pilot plants tested at the Daya Bay Neutrino Laboratory, with the perspective to adapt these processes, system cleanliness and leak-tightness standards to the final full scale plants to be used for the purification of the liquid scintillator of the JUNO neutrino detector. The main goal of these plants is to remove radio impurities from the liquid scintillator

* Corresponding author at: INFN — Sezione di Milano, Via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy. *E-mail address*: paolo.lombardi@mi.infn.it (P. Lombardi).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2019.01.071

Received 21 December 2018; Received in revised form 23 January 2019; Accepted 23 January 2019 Available online 30 January 2019 0168-9002/© 2019 Published by Elsevier B.V. Scintillator transparency Light yield Nitrogen purging Large-scale experiments while increasing its optical attenuation length. Purification of liquid scintillator will be performed with a system combining alumina oxide, distillation, water extraction and steam (or N_2 gas) stripping. Such a combined system will aim at obtaining a total attenuation length greater than 20 m @430 nm, and a bulk radiopurity for ²³⁸U and ²³²Th in the $10^{-15} \div 10^{-17}$ g/g range. The pilot plants commissioning and operation have also provided valuable information on the degree of reliability of their main components, which will be particularly useful for the design of the final full scale purification equipment for the JUNO liquid scintillator. This paper describes two of the five pilot plants since the Alumina Column, fluorescent material mixing and the Water Extraction plants are being developed by the Chinese part of the collaboration.

1. Scientific motivations

The extraordinary scientific results of the Borexino [1], Daya Bay [2], Double Chooz [3], KamLAND [4] and RENO [5] experiments pave the way for a new generation of multi-kiloton neutrino detectors that adopt the Liquid Scintillator (LS) detection technique (JUNO [6], RENO50 [7], SNO+ [8], ANDES [9], JINPING [10]).

The Jiangmen Underground Neutrino Observatory (JUNO) is a multi-purpose neutrino experiment, proposed mainly for neutrino mass ordering determination (mass hierarchy) by detecting reactor antineutrinos from two sets of nuclear power plants at a 53 km distance. JUNO, deployed in an underground laboratory (700 m of rock overburden), consists in a central detector, a water Cherenkov detector and a top muon tracker. The central detector will be filled with 20 kton of LS and will be immersed in a water pool, acting as a shield from the natural radioactivity of the surrounding rock. The water pool, in turn, will be instrumented with photomultipliers to act as a Cerenkov detector vetoing cosmic rays background. On top of the water pool, a muon tracker system will accurately measure incoming muons.

The JUNO Liquid Scintillator is a specific organic compound containing molecules featuring benzene rings that can be excited by ionizing particles; it will be composed by Linear Alkyl Benzene (LAB) as solvent, doped with 2,5-Diphenyloxazole (PPO 2.5 g/l) as primary solute, and 1,4-Bis(2-methylstyryl)benzene (bis-MSB 7 mg/l) as wavelength shifter.

Low-background conditions are crucial for the success of JUNO. From the point of view of the LS, this means that the concentration of radioactive impurities inside the mixture should result in an activity of the same level or below the rate of neutrino events. Radiopurity levels are usually specified by the concentration of 232 Th, 238 U and 40 K in the LS and their typical concentration in the environment are listed in Table 1. The baseline scenario, which will be desirable for the detection of reactor antineutrinos in JUNO, assumes a contamination in the range of 10^{-15} g/g of U and Th and of 10^{-15} g/g of 40 K [11] in the LS. A more stringent regime, in the realm of 10^{-17} g/g, would instead be needed to accomplish the JUNO neutrino Astroparticle program [6].

While members of the natural ²³²Th and ²³⁸U decay chains are the most common contaminants, also other sources of radioactive impurities for the LS have to be taken into account.

Radioactive impurities can be divided in two main groups according to the process adopted to remove them from the LS. Heavy impurities, such as ²³⁸U, ²³²Th and ⁴⁰K, can be discarded through distillation and water extraction, while more volatile impurities, such as ²²²Rn, ³⁹Ar, ⁴²Ar and ⁸⁵Kr can be minimized by means of steam or nitrogen stripping. Table 2 displays the concentrations of LS contaminants obtained, after purification, by the main neutrino experiments. It is important to notice that only Borexino and KamLAND achieved the radiopurity standard needed for JUNO.

The JUNO physics program requires reaching an energy resolution (3% at 1 MeV) never achieved before in any large-mass liquid scintillator neutrino experiment. In order to reach the required light collection, the attenuation length has to be comparable to the diameter of the LS acrylic chamber (A.L.> 20 m at 430 nm [6]). The 430 nm value has been chosen as the reference value since it is in the wavelength region where the PMTs are more sensitive.

The optical performances of the LS are mainly affected by the solvent production methods, and its method of transportation, but the

Table 1

List of the main radioisotopes dissolved in the organic liquid scintillators with their sources of contamination and the typical concentration of the impurities in the sources [14,15]. In the last two columns are presented the removal strategies used by the main neutrino experiment to reduce the radio impurities contained in the LS and the JUNO radiopurity requirements [6,11].

Radioisotope	Contamination source	Typical value	Removal strategy	JUNO requirement
²²² Rn	Air and emanation from material	<100 Bq/m ³	Stripping	-
²³⁸ U	Dust suspended in liquid	$\sim 10^{-6}~{\rm g/g}$	Distillation and water extraction	$< 10^{-15} \text{ g/g}$
²³² Th	Dust suspended in liquid	$\sim 10^{-5} \text{ g/g}$	Distillation and water extraction	<10 ⁻¹⁵ g/g
⁴⁰ K	PPO used as doping material	$\sim 10^{-6}~{\rm g/g}$	Distillation and water extraction	$< 10^{-15} \text{ g/g}$
³⁹ Ar, ⁴² Ar	Air	$\sim 1 \text{ Bq/m}^3$	Stripping	-
⁸⁵ Kr	Air	$\sim 1 \ Bq/m^3$	Stripping	$1 \ \mu Bq/m^3$

Table 2

Purification efficiency for different radioisotope in the main LS neutrino experiment (Daya Bay [16], Borexino [17], KamLAND [18] and Double Chooz [19]) in terms of concentrations of radioactive impurities in the LS or event rate (counts per day, cpd).

Experiment	Radioisotope	Concentration	
Dava Pay	²³⁸ U	<10 ⁻¹² g/g	
Daya Bay	²³² Th	$< 10^{-12} \text{ g/g}$	
	²³⁸ U	$(5.3 \pm 0.5) \cdot 10^{-18} \text{ g/g}$	
	²³² Th	$(3.8 \pm 0.8) \cdot 10^{-18} \text{ g/g}$	
	⁴⁰ K	< 0.42 cpd/100 ton-LS	
Borexino	²²² Rn	(1.72 ± 0.06) cpd/100 ton-LS	
	³⁹ Ar	~0.4 cpd/100 ton-LS (95% C.L.)	
	²¹⁰ Bi	(41.0 ± 1.5(stat) ± 2.3(sis)) cpd/100 ton-LS	
	⁸⁵ Kr	(30.4 \pm 5.3(stat) \pm 1.5(sis)) cpd/100 ton-LS	
	²³⁸ U	$(1.87 \pm 0.10) \cdot 10^{-18} \text{ g/g}$	
	²³² Th	$(8.24 \pm 0.49) \cdot 10^{-17} \text{ g/g}$	
KamLAND	⁴⁰ K	$(1.30 \pm 0.11) \cdot 10^{-16} \text{ g/g}$	
KamLAND	³⁹ Ar	$<4.3 \cdot 10^{-21} \text{ g/g}$	
	²¹⁰ Pb	$(2.06 \pm 0.04) \cdot 10^{-20} \text{ g/g}$	
	⁸⁵ Kr	$(6.10 \pm 0.14) \cdot 10^{-20} \text{ g/g}$	
	²³⁸ U	<10 ⁻¹³ g/g	
Double Chooz	²³² Th	$<10^{-13} \text{ g/g}$	

LS attenuation length [12] is influenced also by the different absorbance and cleanliness of each solute (see Table 3). The raw LAB attenuation length, from high quality industrial production, is about 15 m [13], while it could become less than 10 m in standard industrial quality production. For Daya Bay pilot plants test a special LAB produced by SINOPEC Jinling Petrochemical Company was selected. Its typical composition is reported in Table 4.

Moreover, any oxidation of the LAB worsens substantially its optical properties, so it is mandatory to avoid any contact between oxygen and the LAB, by keeping any transportation and storage vessel under a nitrogen blanket while removing air leaks through piping and connections.

In order to test the efficiency of the purification process on a LAB based liquid scintillator, it has been decided to build pilot plants with a maximum flow rate of 100 kg/h that will process the LS needed for

Table 3

Composition of the solvent and solute of the organic LS of the main neutrino experiments (Daya Bay [13,16,21], Borexino [15,17,22,23], KamLAND [4,18,24,25], Double Chooz [3,12,19] and RENO [5,7,26]) together with the attenuation length measured at a wavelength of 430 nm after the purification cycle. The attenuation length for KamLAND was measured at a wavelength of 436 nm.

	0		
Experiment	Solvent	Solute	Attenuation length (m)
Daya Bay	LAB	1 g/l Gd 3 g/l PPO 15 mg/l bis-MSB	14 ± 4
Borexino	PC	1.45 g/l PPO	~10
KamLAND	80% Dodecane 20% PC	1.36 g/l PPO	12.7 ± 0.4
Double Chooz	80% n-Dodecane 20% o-PXE	4.5 g/l Gd-(thd) ³ 0.5%wt Oxolane 7 g/l PPO 20 mg/l bis-MSB	7.8 ± 0.5
RENO	LAB	3 g/l PPO 30 mg/l bis-MSB 1 g/l Gd	>10

Table 4

Composition of special LAB used for the commissioning of the distillation and stripping test at Daya Bay Neutrino Laboratory produced by SINOPEC Jinling Petrochemical Company. LAB is a mixture of compounds that can be expressed in terms of n in the form of (C_6H_5) - C_nH_{7n41} .

Components C ₆ H ₅ C _n H _{2n+1}	Concentration%
n = 9	0%
n = 10	10%
n = 11	35%
n = 12	35%
n = 13	20%
n = 14	0%

the filling of one Daya Bay detector in less than 10 days (23.5 m³). In this paper, we focus on the design and operations done during the commissioning phase of distillation and stripping pilot plants, while Al_2O_3 filtering system and Water Extraction plant will not be described here since they are under the responsibility of the Chinese part of the collaboration.

Nevertheless, just for comparison, it is worth to mention that one of the plants designed to remove optical impurities and increase the attenuation length of LAB is the Al_2O_3 (alumina oxide) filtering system. Alumina is very effective in removing optical contaminants through the absorption mechanism. Optical impurities, in principle, could, be removed also through a distillation process by retaining, in the lower part of the column, the high boiling point compounds (such as dust, metal particle and usually oxides) that can affect the light transmittance of the LAB. The last purification system is the Water Extraction plant that is based on the "Scheibel column" design and is intended to remove radioactive contaminants like ²³⁸U, ²³²Th and ⁴⁰K [20].

In this paper we present the results obtained with the distillation pilot plant concerning the high-efficiency removal of the optical contaminants.

The continuous many-months operation, implied by the JUNO detector filling, sets severe constraints on the reliability of the final plants. Motivated by these requirements, in Section 3 we discuss a reliability model for the distillation and stripping plant based on the data obtained from the operation of the pilot plants during the commissioning and test phases.

2. Distillation and stripping pilot plant overview

Distillation and stripping technologies are widely used for purification of Liquid Scintillators in large-scale neutrino experiments. In this respect, the JUNO LS purification system has a particularly difficult task since both excellent radiopurity and extraordinary optical quality have to be reached. In addition, a high production rate must be achieved together with compliance with Chinese and European safety regulations. In the following sections, we describe the main features of the distillation and stripping pilot plants installed at the Daya Bay site. Pilot plants design, construction and operation has been a crucial step to understand and demonstrate the purification efficiency. All the knowledge and feedback acquired in this pilot test phase will be crucial to optimize and further upgrade the design of the full-scale plants of JUNO.

2.1. Distillation plant

Distillation plant is used to remove the heaviest impurities from the raw LAB (mainly 238 U, 232 Th and 40 K) and to improve its optical properties in terms of absorbance spectrum and attenuation length in the 350 nm–550 nm wavelength region. This process is based on heat and mass transfer between a liquid and a gas stream, due to the equilibrium conditions reached on each stage of a distillation column. These conditions depend on the difference of volatility between the constituents of the input stream and on the temperature and pressure in the column. The low volatility components are concentrated in the bottom of the system, while the high volatility ones are found at the top.

The distillation is carried out with counter-current flow of the liquid and gaseous LAB in a 7 m high, 2000 mm wide column containing 6 sieve trays (see Fig. 1 and Table 5). In particular, the height of the column and the number of trays number affect the separation capability, while the total flow rate is related to the width of the column.

The three principal components of the distillation system are the column, the reboiler and the total condenser. Liquid LAB is fed to the column at a flow rate of about 100 l/h in the middle tray section (1 in Fig. 1), after being preheated (~160 °C) in the vapor condenser (2 in Fig. 1) on the top of the column. The liquid stream, falling down by gravity through the sieve trays, reaches the reboiler, which evaporates the liquid with a 15 kW_{th} electric heater (immersed resistors) generating the counter current flow of vapor. Temperature in the reboiler is around 200 °C depending on the column actual pressure and the LAB chain composition. The trays are designed in order to establish an intimate contact between the liquid stream and the gas stream for a sufficient period of time allowing heat and mass transfer between the phases. This process enriches the liquid stream in the less volatile components (in particular ²³⁸U and ²³²Th and heavy impurities) and decreases the temperature of the vapors. The liquid and vapor flows must be kept within a limited operating range to assure a good contact surface on the sieve trays.

The top of the distillation column features the total condenser (2 in Fig. 1), cooled by the LAB input flow, where the LAB vapors are liquefied. In this design, the total condenser has the function of energy recovery. The product liquid stream is then split by the condenser itself in two currents, one inserted back inside the column as a reflux flow (to increase the efficiency of the distillation process) and the other directed to the water based heat-exchanger (3 in Fig. 1) for the sub-cooling to room temperature and then sent to the product tank.

The distillation pilot plant is operated with a nominal reflux ratio of 25%, adjusted by varying the product flow, and a 2% of the input flow discharge from the bottom of the column in order to reach a good compromise between the product purity and a reasonable throughput [14].

The distilled LAB is then sent to the next purification process through a 50 nm pore filter in order to retain any dust or metal particles already present or introduced in the stream by the plant itself.

The entire plant is kept under a N_2 blanket provided by a continuous gas flow to avoid any oxidation inside the column, thereby also reducing the risk of fire. The incondensable gas stream, if present, is then removed from the top of the column by a dry scroll vacuum pump, in order to keep a constant pressure of 5 mbar inside the column. The LAB vapor dragged by the nitrogen flow is being liquefied by passing it through a vacuum condenser (4 in Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Distillation pilot plant sketch (not in scale). The raw LAB from the input tank falls by gravity through the top of the column where it is pre-heated by the LAB vapor inside the total condenser installed right on top of the column (2). The LAB, at a temperature of roughly 160 °C, is then sent to the column at the middle tray (1) where it falls down in the electric reboiler (\sim 200 °C) integrated in the distillation column itself. The reboiler generates heat with submerged electric resistances. The LAB vapors are then condensed in the top of the column and split in the product stream and in the reflux stream (\sim 25% of the product stream). The flow of the distilled LAB is then cooled down at ambient temperature (3) and collected in the product tank. The discharge flow (\sim 2% of the input stream) from the reboiler is sent to its collecting tank after being cooled down to room temperature. The pressure inside the distillation column, the product tank and the bottom tank is kept constant at a value of 5 mbar_a with a scroll vacuum pump (VP) and a continuous purge of nitrogen. The distilled LAB can be then pumped back by a diaphragm pump (P) to the input tank, so to distill it in internal loop mode, or can be sent to the next purification step passing through a 50 nm pore filter. The LAB discharged from the bottom of the column can also be recovered and pumped back to the input tank.

Table 5

Summary of the main operational parameters of the distillation pilot plant tested at Dava Bay.

Feature	Value
Height	7 m
Diameter	200 mm
Number of trays	6
Pressure	5 mbar _a
Temperature in the reboiler	200 °C
Temperature in the top of the column	160 °C
Input flow	100 l/h
Reflux flow	25 l/h
Discharge flow	2 l/h
Nitrogen flow	2 kg/h
Electrical power for the heater	$20 \text{ kW}_{\text{th}}$
Cooling power	14 kW _{th}
Feed tank volume	1 m ³
Product tank volume	0.5 m ³
Bottom tank volume	0.5 m ³

The plant can be operated in two different ways: in internal loop mode, where the LAB from the product tank and the filter is sent back to the feed tank, and the continuous mode where the feed tank (1 m^3) is constantly filled with raw LAB and the distilled LAB is continuously sent from the product tank (0.5 m^3) to the next purification step. The first configuration is used only in the start-up phase of the plants or if a stop of the detector filling occurs, while the second one constitutes the production mode.

The solutions listed here below are adopted in order to achieve better performances in terms of removal of the radioactive impurities, energy saving and cleanliness.

• Sieve Trays: they have the simplest design among various tray types and feature neither mechanical moving parts nor welding, which permits an easy and effective cleaning. Each tray has 55 holes with a diameter of 12 mm to allow a good contact surface between the vapor and the liquid phase and no down-comer in order to avoid any parts that could be difficult to clean. The size and number of the holes in trays are based on nominal flow rates of vapor rising up and liquid falling down the column. If the flows

are too high or too low, bypassing occurs, reducing the contact surface and the stage efficiency.

- Total Condenser: the condenser is positioned directly on the top of the column in order to reduce the size of the plant. Moreover, the LAB vapor is cooled down by the LAB liquid input stream. The pre-heating of the LAB input stream permits an energy recovery of the order of 10 kW_{th}, while also avoiding the destabilization of the column temperature profile, which can the place when inserting a cool fluid in the middle of the column.
- Vacuum distillation column: in order to achieve better purification performances, the distillation process pressure is kept below 5 mbar_a, increasing the difference between the vapor pressure of the LAB and that of heavy impurities. A low pressure inside the column reduces the LAB boiling temperature (less than 200 °C), effectively decreasing the risk of thermal degradation of LAB.
- At the design conditions of 100 l/h feed and reflux ratio 1, the sixtray column setup was predicted to have four theoretical stages based on design correlations.

2.2. Stripping plant

After LAB purification through Alumina and Distillation plants, liquid scintillator is prepared by online mixing of purified LAB with the right percent of a Master Solution mixture (MS). MS is a concentrated solution of LAB + 100 g/l PPO and 280 mg/l bisMSB, pre-purified in a dedicated plant (water extraction in batch mode). Liquid scintillator stream is finally processed through Water Extraction and Stripping plants.

The gas stripping is a separation process in which, one or more dissolved gases are removed from the liquid phase and transferred to the gas phase by the desorption mechanism. For example, radioactive gases (mainly ⁸⁵Kr, ³⁹Ar and ²²²Rn) and oxygen (which potentially decreases the light yield due to photon quenching) can be removed from the scintillator mixture by stripping with a variable mixture of superheated steam and nitrogen in counter current mode. The stripping pilot plant was designed to measure the process efficiency with superheated steam, N_2 or a combination of the two in order to identify the best configuration for the future full size plants.



Fig. 2. Stripping pilot plant sketch (not to scale). The LAB, collected in the input tank from the previous purification steps, is pumped by a diaphragm pump (P) to the top of the stripping column after being filtered through a 50 nm pore filter and preheated at 80 °C in the oil based heater (1) in order to avoid the condensation of steam inside the liquid stream. The gas flow is an adjustable mix of nitrogen and steam produced inside the electrical steam boiler (2) at a pressure > 150 mbar_a kept constant by the continuous flow of the steam through a calibrated orifice (5) to the stripping column (1). The stripping column is filled with Pall rings in order to maximize the contact surface between the liquid and the gas stream. The stripped LAB is then collected in the bottom of the column and sent to the product tank after being cooled down in a water based heat exchanger and filtered. The liquid can be then sent back to the input tank or pumped out to the filling station of the detector. The gas flow is discharged by a scroll vacuum pump (VP) after being cooled down in the vacuum condenser (3) in order to condense the steam and remove the all the water before the VP.

The pre-heated liquid stream (2 in Fig. 2) enters the stripping column (1 in Fig. 2) from the top and falls down by gravity through an unstructured packing (Pall rings) featuring a high contact surface between the liquid and the gas coming from the bottom of the column (Fig. 2 and Table 5).

The concentrations of dissolved gases in the two streams (y_i for the liquid phase and x_i for the gas mixture) vary in each stages of the column, depending on the equilibrium conditions between liquid and gaseous flows, as governed by the Henry's law:

$y_i \cdot p_t = H_i \cdot x_i$

where p_i is the process pressure and H_i the Henry's law constant that depends on temperature, pressure and the composition of the streams at the *i*th theoretical stage. In order to keep the pressure gradient constant inside the stripping column, the steam is condensed in vacuum condensers, while the incondensable constituents of the gas stream are discharged by a scroll vacuum pump (3 in Fig. 2).

The Henry constant, in combination with the molar fraction, determines the maximum ratio between liquid flow L and gas flow G. By applying the mass balance condition to the column:

$$\frac{L}{G}|_{\max} = \frac{x_2 - x_1}{y_1 - y_2}$$

The optimal liquid–gas ratio needs to be higher than 70% of the maximum L/G ratio, to avoid large gas flow and high pressure loss inside the column, and lower than 85% of L/G max, not to increase too much the height of the column due to a minor driving force between liquid and gas.

The stripped liquid, collected in the bottom of the column, is sent to the product tank (0.5 m³) by a pump through a water based heat exchanger to lower its temperature, and through a 50 nm filter used to retain the dust and the particulate that can be released by the plant itself.

The nitrogen used is carefully purified with active carbons at cryogenic temperatures to reach low concentration of radio-contaminants, because they set a lower limit for the radiopurity that can be achieved by gas stripping.

The steam flow is produced in a 50 l volume steam boiler (4 in Fig. 2), at a temperature around 70 °C (pressure around 300 mbar_a)

using ultrapure water from the high purity water plant of Daya Bay [16]. Its flow is controlled by a calibrated orifice hole with a diameter of 0.3 mm (5 in Fig. 2) located between the heater and the needle valve installed on the superheated steam line before the column. Possible condensation of steam in the column is avoided by operative solutions. The LS, and the entire column as a consequence, is pre-heated at 90 °C. This temperature is 20 °C more than the production temperature of the steam at even higher pressure of the column (300 mbar vs. 250 mbar). These precautions make the steam a superheated one as soon as it enters the column. The superheated steam could therefore be treated like a gas with no phase separation.

This plant can be operated both in internal loop mode (during the start-up operations and self-cleaning procedures) and in continuous mode where the purified LAB is sent, after stripping, from the product tank (0.5 m^3) to the filling station of the Daya Bay detector.

In order to reach the purity and optical standards needed for JUNO, the following design options have been adopted.

• Unstructured Packing: the column is filled with AISI316 Pall rings to increase the contact area between the liquid and gas stream. The rings have been electro polished and effectively cleaned before the installation inside the column with an ultrasonic bath.

- Stripping under vacuum: the reduced pressure can improve the efficiency per theoretical stage of gas stripping. On the other hand, the inter-facial mass transport rate is substantially reduced in the absence of gas flow. In a stripping column of fixed size, there is an optimal pressure for gas stripping: reducing the pressure increases the efficiency per theoretical stage, but also decreases the number of theoretical stages. The optimal pressure for our stripping operations is between 150 and 250 mbar_a.
- Steam: the use of steam instead of Nitrogen (the Borexino choice [15]), has two advantages. Firstly, it is generally easier to produce ultrapure water than N₂ with a low content of radioactive contaminants, reaching a concentration of ²²²Rn < $3.4 \cdot 10^{-6}$ Bq/kg and a very low content in ³⁹Ar and ⁸⁵Kr. [22]. Moreover, using Nitrogen as a stripping gas requires adopting an exhaust system to displace it in a sufficiently well vented place. The amount of dissolved water in LAB at 100% saturation at atmospheric pressure and room temperature is ~200 ppm. Stripping at ~250 mbar_a (even if at a

temperature around 90 °C) reduces the amount of water dissolved in the LS after the cooling heat exchanger. The measured content of water in LS after steam stripping was of ~50 ppm, which does not represent an issue for JUNO experiment.

- LS pre-heater: as already mentioned, in order to avoid any condensation of steam in the LS stream, the LS is heated at a temperature of 90 °C. Increasing the temperature gives also the additional advantage of enhancing the stripping efficiency.
- At the design conditions the 4 m, unstructured packed column was predicted to have three theoretical stages.

2.3. Common features

In order to avoid any contamination due to the dust, dirt and oxide particles which could be released into the detector or liquid handling systems, it is mandatory to use electro-polished 316L stainless steel and special cleaning process. In the following we describe the cleaning procedures adopted to treat all the parts of the distillation and stripping pilot plants such as pipes, tanks, valves, pumps and sensors.

The desired cleanliness standard for the plant is MIL STD 1246 Level 50 [27], which defines limits on the residual particulate size distribution. This goal assumes the scintillator causes particulate washoff similar to water, and that Class 50 is the acceptable level for the scintillator, assuming the remaining particulate has a radioactivity similar to the one in the dust. Hopefully, the second assumption is not true, and the remaining particulate is mostly metallic (i.e. less radioactive than dust), resulting in very conservative specifications for the lines.

The procedure consists in these steps [23]:

- detergent cycle, to remove oil, grease and residuals with Alconox Detergent 8 or equivalent (concentration 3% at 60 °C);
- Ultra-Pure Water (UPW) cycle for rinsing (Until resistivity is > 4 M Ω cm)
- pickling and passivation;
- UPW cycle for final rinsing (Until resistivity is > 14 M Ω cm.)

Small parts have been cleaned in ultrasonic baths, while bigger parts with other suitable methods, like spray balls or immersion.

Moreover, at the final stage of each plant we have decided to install a (pre-wetted) ultra-filter with 50 nm nominal pore diameter, to retain particles that can be released by the plant itself.

Specific attention is given to avoid leaks through the connections. In particular, all large flanges and the ones withstanding ambient temperature are sealed with Ansiflex gaskets or Viton Teflon coated gaskets, while in the high temperature parts of the plant the tightness is assured by using metal loaded TUF-STEEL gaskets. All process line connections are orbital-welded or TIG-welded using low thorium content electrodes. Where welding is not possible, metal gasket VCR fittings are used. Moreover, all instrument probes are connected to the plant with vacuum tight fittings for high seal, and stainless steel diaphragm sealed valves are used throughout the system (the overall integral leak rate of each plant was proved to be less than 10^{-8} mbar-l/s by means of a He leak detector).

The skids have to meet safety European and Chinese requirements in terms of certification of seismic safety. A Hazop procedure was used to identify potential problems during operations and led to modifications for the sensing and alarming parts of the system. In order to avoid the prescription of the PED directive, rupture disks are installed to assure in every tank a local pressure lower than 0.49 bar_g. In particular, rupture disks are designed to be operative between full vacuum up to the trigger point of 0.45 bar_g.

All the electric equipment are under ATEX specification [28], in Class 1 Zone 2 T2, to prevent any fire risk since the LAB temperature is above its flash point in the distillation plant.

All the process pumps used are volumetric diaphragm pumps with Teflon membranes, installed in the lower part of the plants in order

Table 6

Main operational parameters for the different features of the stripping pilot plant tested at Daya Bay.

Feature	Value	
Height	7 m (4 m of unstructured packing)	
Diameter	75 mm	
Packing material	AISI 316 Pall rings	
Pressure	150–250 mbar,	
Input LAB flow temperature	90 °C	
Steam temperature	70 °C	
Input LAB flow	100 l/h	
Steam flow	100 g/h	
Nitrogen flow	1 N m ³ /h	
Electrical power for the heater	10 kW _{th}	
Cooling power	5 kW _{th}	
Feed tank volume	0.5 m ³	
Product tank volume	0.5 m ³	

to help the pump priming and to avoid cavitation in compliance with instrument NPSH. The pumps used to move liquid from a low-pressure tank to an ambient pressure tank are compressed air driven DEBEM pumps, while in all the other cases we use motor driven PROMINENT pump.

These purification plants need a very stable and reliable Distributed Control System (DCS) to adjust the purification parameters and to assure the safety of both the plants and the operators, considering the high temperatures of the plants (in distillation mode) and the enclosed environment in which the plants are located. The purification system has to be under the control of a master system that provides, for 24-h/day operations, alarm notifications, and automated shutdown in case of problems.

It has been decided to adopt a Siemens system for distributed automation because it guarantees good performances in terms of reliability and a modular and safety oriented design. Moreover, it can be used in hazardous areas (ATEX Zone 2). The CPU module chosen is the 1512SP-1P. It assures different communication options between the PLC and the PC with the possibility to integrate a channel specific diagnostic.

The DCS can be controlled and monitored via a SCADA application, designed integrating an operator friendly User Interface (UI), with the purpose to permit a quick learning of the plant operations and to understand and solve easily the cause of any alarms generated by the DCS. This application runs on a Local PC, where it saves all the processes parameter values every minute. It is linked to the PLC via an Ethernet connection.

The general UI is divided in three tabs: an overview of the plant (see Fig. 3), an alarm panel and a trend panel.

In the first tab, the core of the UI, it is possible to set the process parameters and the alarm thresholds, open and close the automatic valves and turn the pumps on and off. Here the measured values of each instrument connected to the DCS are also displayed.

The second panel collects all the alarms that are active or were active, but not acknowledged, while in the last it is possible to monitor the trend over time of the process values, which are also saved on the PC.

The DCS manages also part of the safety rules that prevent any damage to the plant and to the operators. In particular, it prevents the switch-on of the equipment if the proper conditions are not satisfied: for example if the LAB level in the distillation reboiler is not high enough the heaters cannot be turned on.

It is foreseen also an account based system in order to establish a hierarchy between users of the DCS and to give the privileges to change the settings only to expert operators and just monitoring capabilities to the shifters or the guests.

3. Reliability

The JUNO purification plants will have to face the highly demanding challenge of assuring a constant delivery of purified LS for the entire



Fig. 3. The slow control User Interface (UI) is designed in order to guarantee a fast identification of the values of the process parameters. It is possible to set each instrument alarm threshold (HighHigh, High, Low and LowLow) and to adjust the process parameters with the instrument panel. In the Alarm Pages tab are collected all the previous and active alarms and it is possible to examine the timeline of each instrument value with the trend graph. The slow control User Interface (UI) shows also the flowrates totalizer keeping always under control the amount of processed LS.

filling period. Some complications arise from the fact that the last stages of the purification process will take place in the underground laboratory, because of the desire to minimize the length of the pipes from the stripping plant to the filling stations, so as to reduce the risk of contaminating the purified LS. In this scenario, the replacement of LS in case of failure of the purification process will be almost unfeasible. For these reasons, a reliability assessment is mandatory in order to identify the less resilient components and possibly maximize the robustness and safety of the whole purification system. Essentially It has been decided to use the experience gained by the design and operations of the pilot systems in order to develop a reliability study of the future JUNO purification plants. In the following the calculations done for pilot plants are given. The collected statistics after 2 years of pilot plants operations is in good agreement with the expectations.

Reliability is generally defined as the probability R(t) of successful performance under specified conditions of time and use and it is related with the failure rate $\lambda(t)$ of every single component of the system [29]:

$$R(t) = e^{-\int \lambda(t)dt}$$
(1)

The lifetime of a component can be divided in three stages: the infancy mortality period when the failure rate is not constant and decreases rapidly with time, the life period when the failure rate is considered constant and the wear out period where the failure rate increases rapidly due to ageing of the component itself.

In our case, the infancy mortality period is considered finished after the commissioning of the plants, so we consider the components inside the constant failure rate period. It is therefore possible to use failure rates from the specialized literature or from similar plants.

The total reliability of a complex structure can be calculated using the probability theory breaking down the entire system in simpler modules or subsystem arranged in series or in parallel [29].

In the distillation plant all the subsystems are arranged in series (see Fig. 4a), implying that the total reliability can be estimated using Eq. (2) below. In the stripping pilot plant one stage involves a parallel between the Steam Generator and the Nitrogen Line (see Fig. 4b): therefore the total reliability R_{tot} can be evaluated by combining the reliability of the Steam Generator plus Nitrogen Line subsystems in parallel using Eq. (3) below with the reliabilities of the remaining components:

$$R_{tot} = \prod_{i} R_{i}$$

$$R_{tot} = 1 - \prod (1 - R_{i})$$
(2)
(3)

List of the main components of the distillation and stripping pilot plant used and their failure rate given by the production company and from Borexino experience.

Component	Failure rate λ (fail/10 ⁶ h)
Pressure sensor	1.7
Regulating valve	30
Heat exchanger	20
Vacuum pump	15
Level sensor	12
Thermocouple	10.1
Level switch	4.5
On/Off valve	20
Rupture disk	13.5
Centrifugal pump	20
Flow meter	5
Filter	1
Gaskets	0.2
DCS module	1
Filter	1
Steam generator	50
Pressure reducer	0.3

The failure rate of each components, listed in Table 7, are combined with the previous equations to get the final reliability and the Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF, see Table 8). This allows to estimate the number of stops for the plants, considering the reliability of the external utilities, provided by the lab (i.e. chiller, water supply, nitrogen supply). The reliability of the hand-operated valves is set to 1. The MTBF (measured in hours) is correlated with the failure rate through the following equation, when $\lambda(t)$ is considered constant:

$$MTBF = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Due to a less complex system and less physical objects inside the plant, the stripping system has a lower failure probability than the distillation plant. Therefore, it has a longer MTBF meaning a longer continuous activity between two stops for maintenance. Finally, considering 6 months of continuous working time to fill the JUNO detector, we will have 2 stops in 6 month of continuous operation for each plant (stripping and distillation) with a mean down time estimated of 36 h/failure, with a total of 3 days of stops for each plant.



Fig. 4. Subsystems of the distillation pilot plant (a) and stripping pilot plant (b). The distillation pilot plant total reliability can be calculated as the product of the reliability of the single subsystems because all the plants work in series to each other. While the stripping plant reliability can be evaluated as the product of all the other subsystems with the reliability of the subsystem composed by the Steam Generator and the Nitrogen.

4. From designing to commissioning

In 2014–2015 the design and the construction of the JUNO purification pilot plants was started, with the aim to test them in the Daya Bay Laboratory and to find the optimal process parameters for the design of the final full scale plants.

During the period between 2015 and 2016, the construction work for the distillation and stripping plants was carried out in conjunction with Polaris Engineering (MB, Italy) under the supervision of the Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) crew.

The plants were designed and built as a skid-mounted system (see Fig. 5) for transportation flexibility in China (they fit into two 2.15 m \times 2.4 m \times 7 m skids). INFN reviewed and approved all materials, equipment selections and fabrication methods to ensure that the system was leak tight and had the possibility to be completely cleaned.

Between February 2016 and March 2016, distillation and stripping pilot plants, under nitrogen atmosphere, were crated in a container and shipped to Shenzhen, China, by sea. One month later, they arrived at the Daya Bay laboratory. After the skids were mounted, all the final connections were made, including the connections to the process lines in Hall 5 of Daya Bay Underground Laboratory.

Before detector filling, each plant was operated in internal loop mode (described in Sections 2.1 and 2.2) to ensure that they work properly and to adjust the process parameters. During these steps, some problems on the level sensors were identified and solved with a re-calibration of the instruments via HART communicator.

The main features investigated during the commissioning phase were the transfer process of the LAB from the bottom of the distillation column and the thermodynamic parameters that insure a stable and efficient functioning of the stripping column. In particular, regarding the first item, it was decided to avoid a continuous transfer of liquid from the bottom of the distillation column because the flow rate would have been lower than the minimum value measurable by the flow meter.

Regarding the distillation plant, it was decided to further decrease the pressure inside the column in order to reduce the temperature of the LAB and avoid any degradation of the organic compound. In total, around 4000 l of LAB were distilled and stripped for plants commissioning and final self-cleaning.

After these tests, the plants were connected with Alumina oxide and Water Extraction purification systems through the interconnection system, to the goal of testing the complete purification chain. By reference, Alumina Column plant is based on absorption technique on high quality alumina powder to remove optical impurities and increase the attenuation length of LAB [20] while Water Extraction column

Table 8

Probability of successful performances (R) and Mean Time Before Failure (MTBF) in months calculated for each subsystem composing the distillation and stripping pilot plant and for the entire plants. The model used for the calculation is shown in Fig. 4 and the failure rate for each component of the subsystem are listed in Table 7.

	Line description	R	MTBF (10 ³ h)
	Vacuum line	0.637	30.9
	Reboiler line	0.797	23.8
	Column + bottom	0.576	7.9
Distillation	Distillate line	0.665	7.9
Distillation	Feed line	0.722	15.8
	Gaskets (200)	0.916	14.4
	DCS modules	0.961	98.6
	Total	0.124	2.2
	Vacuum Line	0.835	36.7
	GV	0.698	12.2
	Column + product	0.524	5.8
Stripping	Feed line	0.613	8.6
	Nitrogen line	0.978	98.6
	Gaskets (150)	0.936	19.4
	DCS modules	0.961	98.6
	Total	0.235	2.9

is based on the "Scheibel column" design and is intended to remove radioactive contaminants like 238 U, 232 Th and 40 K [20]. These plants are not described in this paper.

5. Results

The performances of the distillation and stripping pilot plants during the commissioning phase are assessed by measuring the remaining content of radio impurities in the LAB and its absorption spectra evaluated after each purification process. The effectiveness of these purification methods in removing the radio impurities cannot be measured by laboratory tests, giving only generic hints on their efficiency. The Daya Bay detector, instead, enables the quantitative evaluation of the residual background in the LAB, which will be reported in the paper describing the full procedure of tests and measurements performed on the whole sets of pilot plants at Daya Bay.

However, meaningful preliminary indications of the effectiveness of the plants can be gathered indirectly through the inspections of the absorption spectra. Indeed, the LAB attenuation length and the absorption spectra were measured before filling the detector and after each purification step [20].

In Fig. 6 the absorption spectrum is reported as a function of the wavelength (where on abscissa there is the wavelength in nm and on the



Fig. 5. 3D drawing of the distillation plants skid (a) and stripping plant skid (b). The plants are mounted inside a blue skid that can fit a standard ISO container for transportation. They are divided in three floors: in the top floor are mounted the vacuum pumps and the input tanks while the product tanks are located in the bottom floor in order to minimize the usage of pumps. The distillation column and the stripping column are placed on a side of the skids and they run from the top floor to the bottom floor to minimize the space required for the installation. The bottom floor features lights for the electrical cabinet containing the connection for the heaters, for the pumps supply and for the CPU of the slow control system receiving the signals from the instruments . (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 6. Comparison of the absorption spectra of raw and distilled LAB (modified from [20]). It is important to notice that even if the most reduction of the optical impurities is carried out by the alumina plant, the distillation has a small effect on reducing the attenuation length in the wavelength region around 365 nm.

y-axis the absorbance in arbitrary units). By comparing the spectrum of the raw LAB with the one after distillation, we can infer the very high effectiveness of the distillation plant to remove optical impurities over the whole region of interest.

Moreover, from [20], it is possible to conclude that the stripping procedure, intended to remove gaseous compound and hence not expected to affect the absorption spectrum, is clean enough not to spoil the optical quality as obtained from the previous distillation step.

6. Conclusion

This paper described the features and the commissioning phase of a distillation and a stripping pilot plant designed to test the purification efficiency of this processes for a LAB based liquid scintillator in terms of removal of radio and optical impurities. Moreover, the study permitted to evaluate the model built for the calculation of the total reliability

of the two pilot plants. For the first time, well-established technologies are integrated for the purification of a LAB based LS. The purification effectiveness, the safety of the plants and of the operators are guaranteed adopting the peculiar features summarized below:

- Using the distillation column input feed (LAB) as a cooling fluid in the total condenser (Fig. 1) leads to a substantial reduction of the energy consumed for the liquefaction of the LAB vapor and for the warm-up of the input feed. Moreover, positioning the condenser (pre-heater) on the top of the column implies a substantial reduction of the plant size.
- The installation of sieve trays in the distillation column allows to maximize the contact surface between the liquid and vapor phase keeping a high cleanliness level and in turn to get a greater efficiency of the distillation.
- The LAB thermal degradation is reduced by performing the distillation under vacuum with lower boiling temperature.
- Using a variable mixture of steam and nitrogen as the gas stream in the stripping column leads to better results on purification efficiency due to the lower ²²²Rn content in ultra-pure water, as compared to regular nitrogen. Moreover, since the steam is completely liquefied in the vacuum line condenser and the water disposed properly, a dedicated exhaust system is not necessary.
- While the stripping process has no effect on the optical property of the LAB, the distillation increases the attenuation length in the wavelength region of interest (Fig. 6). The attenuation length measured on scintillator (LAB + 2.5 g/l PPO and 7 mg/l bisMSB) after all the purification process reaches a value of 20 m @ 430 nm, greater than typical values obtained in previous neutrino experiments (Table 3). The attenuation length of pure LAB reaches 25 m @ 430 nm after distillation.
- Adopting the data from the pilot plants, the reliability study for the future JUNO purification plants shows an average of greater than 3 months of MTBF (Table 6). The JUNO distillation plant will be more subject to failure due to its greater complexity and number of components. This model will give also an indication on hierarchy of the most fragile parts of the system that will need a prompt back-up solution in case of failure.

In the perspective of the realization of JUNO, as well as for future massive neutrino experiments, the distillation and stripping processes are expected to play a key role in reducing the radio background contamination and in increasing the attenuation length of the LS.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by Italian Institute for Nuclear Physics (INFN), Italy through the JUNO experiment and by Università degli Studi di Ferrara under the scientific project FIR-2017. The authors would like to thank Mario Masetto, Isabella Canesi and Gabriele Milone and the Polaris staff for their contributions on design and building the plants and Zhou Li, Hu Tao, Yu Boxiang, Cai Xiao, Fang Jian, Lijun Sun and Yuguang Xie from IHEP for their invaluable help during the test operation of the distillation and stripping plants.

References

- Borexino Collaboration, Neutrinos from the primary proton-proton fusion process in the Sun, Nature 512 (2014) 383–386, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13702.
- F.P. An, J.Z. Bai, A.B. Balantekin, H.R. Band, D. Beavis, W. Beriguete, M. Bishai, S. Blyth, K. Boddy, R.L. Brown, B. Cai, G.F. Cao, J. Cao, R. Carr, W.T. Chan, J.F. Chang, Y. Chang, C. Chasman, H.S. Chen, H.Y. Chen, S.J. Chen, S.M. Chen, X.C. Chen, X.H. Chen, X.S. Chen, Y. Chen, Y.X. Chen, J.J. Cherwinka, M.C. Chu, J.P. Cummings, Z.Y. Deng, Y.Y. Ding, M.V. Diwan, L. Dong, E. Draeger, X.F. Du, D.A. Dwyer, W.R. Edwards, S.R. Ely, S.D. Fang, J.Y. Fu, Z.W. Fu, L.Q. Ge, V. Ghazikhanian, R.L. Gill, J. Goett, M. Gonchar, G.H. Gong, H. Gong, Y.A. Gornushkin, L.S. Greenler, W.Q. Gu, M.Y. Guan, X.H. Guo, R.W. Hackenburg, R.L. Hahn, S. Hans, M. He, Q. He, W.S. He, K.M. Heeger, Y.K. Heng, P. Hinrichs, T.H. Ho, Y.K. Hor, Y.B. Hsiung, B.Z. Hu, T. Hu, T. Hu, H.X. Huang, H.Z. Huang, P.W. Huang, X. Huang, X.T. Huang, P. Huber, Z. Isvan, D.E. Jaffe, S. Jetter, X.L. Ji, X.P. Ji, H.J. Jiang, W.Q. Jiang, J.B. Jiao, R.A. Johnson, L. Kang, S.H. Kettell, M. Kramer, K.K. Kwan, M.W. Kwok, T. Kwok, C.Y. Lai, W.C. Lai, W.H. Lai, K. Lau, L. Lebanowski, J. Lee, M.K.P. Lee, R. Leitner, J.K.C. Leung, K.Y. Leung, C.A. Lewis, B. Li, F. Li, G.S. Li, J. Li, Q.J. Li, S.F. Li, W.D. Li, X.B. Li, X.N. Li, X.Q. Li, Y. Li, Z.B. Li, H. Liang, J. Liang, C.J. Lin, G.L. Lin, S.K. Lin, S.X. Lin, Y.C. Lin, J.J. Ling, J.M. Link, L. Littenberg, B.R. Littlejohn, B.J. Liu, C. Liu, D.W. Liu, H. Liu, J.C. Liu, J.L. Liu, S. Liu, X. Liu, Y.B. Liu, C. Lu, H.Q. Lu, A. Luk, K.B. Luk, T. Luo, X.L. Luo, L.H. Ma, Q.M. Ma, X.B. Ma, X.Y. Ma, Y.Q. Ma, B. Mayes, K.T. McDonald, M.C. McFarlane, R.D. McKeown, Y. Meng, D. Mohapatra, J.E. Morgan, Y. Nakajima, J. Napolitano, D. Naumov, I. Nemchenok, C. Newsom, H.Y. Ngai, W.K. Ngai, Y.B. Nie, Z. Ning, J.P. Ochoa-Ricoux, D. Oh, A. Olshevski, A. Pagac, S. Patton, C. Pearson, V. Pec, J.C. Peng, L.E. Piilonen, L. Pinsky, C.S.J. Pun, F.Z. Qi, M. Qi, X. Qian, N. Raper, R. Rosero, B. Roskovec, X.C. Ruan, B. Seilhan, B.B. Shao, K. Shih, H. Steiner, P. Stoler, G.X. Sun, J.L. Sun, Y.H. Tam, H.K. Tanaka, X. Tang, H. Themann, Y. Torun, S. Trentalange, O. Tsai, K.V. Tsang, R.H.M. Tsang, C. Tull, B. Viren, S. Virostek, V. Vorobel, C.H. Wang, L.S. Wang, L.Y. Wang, L.Z. Wang, M. Wang, N.Y. Wang, R.G. Wang, T. Wang, W. Wang, X. Wang, X. Wang, Y.F. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. Wang, Z.M. Wang, D.M. Webber, Y.D. Wei, L.J. Wen, D.L. Wenman, K. Whisnant, C.G. White, L. Whitehead, C.A. Whitten, J. Wilhelmi, T. Wise, H.C. Wong, H.L.H. Wong, J. Wong, E.T. Worcester, F.F. Wu, Q. Wu, D.M. Xia, S.T. Xiang, Q. Xiao, Z.Z. Xing, G. Xu, J. Xu, J. Xu, J.L. Xu, W. Xu, Y. Xu, T. Xue, C.G. Yang, L. Yang, M. Ye, M. Yeh, Y.S. Yeh, K. Yip, B.L. Young, Z.Y. Yu, L. Zhan, C. Zhang, F.H. Zhang, J.W. Zhang, Q.M. Zhang, K. Zhang, Q.X. Zhang, S.H. Zhang, Y.C. Zhang, Y.H. Zhang, Y.X. Zhang, Z.J. Zhang, Z.P. Zhang, Z.Y. Zhang, J. Zhao, Q.W. Zhao, Y.B. Zhao, L. Zheng, W.L. Zhong, L. Zhou, Z.Y. Zhou, H.L. Zhuang, J.H. Zou, Observation of electron-antineutrino disappearance at daya bay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.171803.
- [3] The Double Chooz collaboration, Y. Abe, J.C. dos Anjos, J.C. Barriere, E. Baussan, I. Bekman, M. Bergevin, T.J.C. Bezerra, L. Bezrukov, E. Blucher, C. Buck, J. Busenitz, A. Cabrera, E. Caden, L. Camilleri, R. Carr, M. Cerrada, P.-J. Chang, E. Chauveau, P. Chimenti, A.P. Collin, E. Conover, J.M. Conrad, J.I. Crespo-Anadón, K. Crum, A.S. Cucoanes, E. Damon, J.V. Dawson, J. Dhooghe, D. Dietrich, Z. Djurcic, M. Dracos, M. Elnimr, A. Etenko, M. Fallot, F. von Feilitzsch, J. Felde, S.M. Fernandes, V. Fischer, D. Franco, M. Franke, H. Furuta, I. Gil-Botella, L. Giot, M. Göger-Neff, L.F.G. Gonzalez, L. Goodenough, M.C. Goodman, C. Grant, N. Haag, T. Hara, J. Haser, M. Hofmann, G.A. Horton-Smith, A. Hourlier, M. Ishitsuka, J. Jochum, C. Jollet, F. Kaether, L.N. Kalousis, Y. Kamyshkov, D.M. Kaplan, T. Kawasaki, E. Kemp, H. de Kerret, D. Kryn, M. Kuze, T. Lachenmaier, C.E. Lane, T. Lasserre, A. Letourneau, D. Lhuillier, H.P. Lima, M. Lindner, J.M. López-Castaño, J.M. LoSecco, B. Lubsandorzhiev, S. Lucht, J. Maeda, C. Mariani, J. Maricic, J. Martino, T. Matsubara, G. Mention, A. Meregaglia, T. Miletic, R. Milincic, A. Minotti, Y. Nagasaka, Y. Nikitenko, P. Novella, L. Oberauer, M. Obolensky, A. Onillon, A. Osborn, C. Palomares, I.M. Pepe, S. Perasso, P. Pfahler, A. Porta, G. Pronost, J. Reichenbacher, B. Reinhold, M. Röhling, R. Roncin, S. Roth, B. Rybolt, Y. Sakamoto, R. Santorelli, A.C. Schilithz, S. Schönert, S. Schoppmann,

M.H. Shaevitz, R. Sharankova, S. Shimojima, D. Shrestha, V. Sibille, V. Sinev, M. Skorokhvatov, E. Smith, J. Spitz, A. Stahl, I. Stancu, L.F.F. Stokes, M. Strait, A. Stüken, F. Suekane, S. Sukhotin, T. Sumiyoshi, Y. Sun, R. Svoboda, K. Terao, A. Tonazzo, H.H.T. Thi, G. Valdiviesso, N. Vassilopoulos, C. Veyssiere, M. Vivier, S. Wagner, N. Walsh, H. Watanabe, C. Wiebusch, L. Winslow, M. Wurm, G. Yang, F. Yermia, V. Zimmer, Improved measurements of the neutrino mixing angle θ 13 with the Double Chooz detector, J. High Energy Phys. 2014 (2014) http: //dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2014)086.

- [4] KamLAND Collaboration, A. Gando, Y. Gando, H. Hanakago, H. Ikeda, K. Inoue, K. Ishidoshiro, H. Ishikawa, M. Koga, R. Matsuda, S. Matsuda, T. Mitsui, D. Motoki, K. Nakamura, A. Obata, A. Oki, Y. Oki, M. Otani, I. Shimizu, J. Shirai, A. Suzuki, Y. Takemoto, K. Tamae, K. Ueshima, H. Watanabe, B.D. Xu, S. Yamada, Y. Yamauchi, H. Yoshida, A. Kozlov, S. Yoshida, A. Piepke, T.I. Banks, B.K. Fujikawa, K. Han, T. O'Donnell, B.E. Berger, J.G. Learned, S. Matsuno, M. Sakai, Y. Efremenko, H.J. Karwowski, D.M. Markoff, W. Tornow, J.A. Detwiler, S. Enomoto, M.P. Decowski, Reactor on-off antineutrino measurement with KamLAND, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.033001.
- [5] RENO Collaboration, J.H. Choi, W.Q. Choi, Y. Choi, H.I. Jang, J.S. Jang, E.J. Jeon, K.K. Joo, B.R. Kim, H.S. Kim, J.Y. Kim, S.B. Kim, S.Y. Kim, W. Kim, Y.D. Kim, Y. Ko, D.H. Lee, I.T. Lim, M.Y. Pac, I.G. Park, J.S. Park, R.G. Park, H. Seo, S.H. Seo, Y.G. Seon, C.D. Shin, K. Siyeon, J.H. Yang, I.S. Yeo, I. Yu, Observation of energy and baseline dependent reactor antineutrino disappearance in the RENO experiment, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116 (2016) http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.211801.
- F. An, G. An, Q. An, V. Antonelli, E. Baussan, J. Beacom, L. Bezrukov, S. Blyth, R. [6] Brugnera, M.B. Avanzini, J. Busto, A. Cabrera, H. Cai, X. Cai, A. Cammi, G. Cao, J. Cao, Y. Chang, S. Chen, S. Chen, Y. Chen, D. Chiesa, M. Clemenza, B. Clerbaux, J. Conrad, D. D'Angelo, H.D. Kerret, Z. Deng, Z. Deng, Y. Ding, Z. Djurcic, D. Dornic, M. Dracos, O. Drapier, S. Dusini, S. Dye, T. Enqvist, D. Fan, J. Fang, L. Favart, R. Ford, M. Göger-Neff, H. Gan, A. Garfagnini, M. Giammarchi, M. Gonchar, G. Gong, H. Gong, M. Gonin, M. Grassi, C. Grewing, M. Guan, V. Guarino, G. Guo, W. Guo, X.-H. Guo, C. Hagner, R. Han, M. He, Y. Heng, Y. Hsiung, J. Hu, S. Hu, T. Hu, H. Huang, X. Huang, L. Huo, A. Ioannisian, M. Jeitler, X. Ji, X. Jiang, C. Jollet, L. Kang, M. Karagounis, N. Kazarian, Z. Krumshtevn, A. Kruth, P. Kuusiniemi, T. Lachenmaier, R. Leitner, C. Li, J. Li, W. Li, W. Li, X. Li, X. Li, Y. Li, Y. Li, Z.-B. Li, H. Liang, G.-L. Lin, T. Lin, Y.-H. Lin, J. Ling, I. Lippi, D. Liu, H. Liu, H. Liu, J. Liu, J. Liu, J. Liu, O. Liu, S. Liu, S. Liu, P. Lombardi, Y. Long, H. Lu, J. Lu, J. Lu, J. Lu, B. Lubsandorzhiev, L. Ludhova, S. Luo, Vladimir. Lyashuk, R. Möllenberg, X. Ma, F. Mantovani, Y. Mao, S.M. Mari, W.F. McDonough, G. Meng, A. Meregaglia, E. Meroni, M. Mezzetto, L. Miramonti, Thomas. Mueller, D. Naumov, L. Oberauer, J.P. Ochoa-Ricoux, A. Olshevskiy, F. Ortica, A. Paoloni, H. Peng, Jen-Chieh. Peng, E. Previtali, M. Qi, S. Qian, X. Qian, Y. Qian, Z. Qin, G. Raffelt, G. Ranucci, B. Ricci, M. Robens, A. Romani, X. Ruan, X. Ruan, G. Salamanna, M. Shaevitz, Valery. Sinev, C. Sirignano, M. Sisti, O. Smirnov, M. Soiron, A. Stahl, L. Stanco, J. Steinmann, X. Sun, Y. Sun, D. Taichenachev, J. Tang, I. Tkachev, W. Trzaska, S. van Waasen, C. Volpe, V. Vorobel, L. Votano, C.-H. Wang, G. Wang, H. Wang, M. Wang, R. Wang, S. Wang, W. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. Wang, W. Wei, L. Wen, C. Wiebusch, B. Wonsak, Q. Wu, C.-E. Wulz, M. Wurm, Y. Xi, D. Xia, Y. Xie, Zhi-zhong. Xing, J. Xu, B. Yan, C. Yang, C. Yang, G. Yang, L. Yang, Y. Yang, Y. Yao, U. Yegin, F. Yermia, Z. You, B. Yu, C. Yu, Z. Yu, S. Zavatarelli, L. Zhan, C. Zhang, H.-H. Zhang, J. Zhang, J. Zhang, Q. Zhang, Y.-M. Zhang, Z. Zhang, Z. Zhao, Y. Zheng, W. Zhong, G. Zhou, J. Zhou, L. Zhou, R. Zhou, S. Zhou, W. Zhou, X. Zhou, Y. Zhou, Y. Zhou, J. Zou, Neutrino physics with JUNO, J. Phys. G Nucl. Part. Phys. 43 (2016) 030401, http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/43/3/030401.
- [7] S.-B. Kim, New results from RENO and prospects with RENO-50, Part. Phys. Proc. 265–266 (2015) 93–98, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2015.06.024.
- [8] S. Andringa, E. Arushanova, S. Asahi, M. Askins, D.J. Auty, A.R. Back, T. Zhao, K. Zuber, Z. Barnard, N. Barros, E.W. Beier, A. Bialek, S.D. Biller, E. Blucher, R. Bonventre, D. Braid, E. Caden, E. Callaghan, J. Caravaca, J. Carvalho, L. Cavalli, D. Chauhan, M. Chen, O. Chkvorets, K. Clark, B. Cleveland, J.T. Coulter, D. Cressy, X. Dai, C. Darrach, B. Davis-Purcell, R. Deen, M.M. Depatie, F. Descamps, F. Di Lodovico, N. Duhaime, F. Duncan, J. Dunger, E. Falk, N. Fatemighomi, R. Ford, P. Gorel, C. Grant, S. Grullon, E. Guillian, A.L. Hallin, D. Hallman, S. Hans, J. Hartnell, P. Harvey, M. Hedayatipour, W.J. Heintzelman, R.L. Helmer, B. Hreljac, J. Hu, T. Iida, C.M. Jackson, N.A. Jelley, C. Jillings, C. Jones, P.G. Jones, K. Kamdin, T. Kaptanoglu, J. Kaspar, P. Keener, P. Khaghani, L. Kippenbrock, J.R. Klein, R. Knapik, J.N. Kofron, L.L. Kormos, S. Korte, C. Kraus, C.B. Krauss, K. Labe, I. Lam, C. Lan, B.J. Land, S. Langrock, A. LaTorre, I. Lawson, G.M. Lefeuvre, E.J. Leming, J. Lidgard, X. Liu, Y. Liu, V. Lozza, S. Maguire, A. Maio, K. Majumdar, S. Manecki, J. Maneira, E. Marzec, A. Mastbaum, N. McCauley, A.B. McDonald, J.E. McMillan, P. Mekarski, C. Miller, Y. Mohan, E. Mony, M.J. Mottram, V. Novikov, H.M. O'Keeffe, E. O'Sullivan, G.D. Orebi Gann, M.J. Parnell, S.J.M. Peeters, T. Pershing, Z. Petriw, G. Prior, J.C. Prouty, S. Quirk, A. Reichold, A. Robertson, J. Rose, R. Rosero, P.M. Rost, J. Rumleskie, M.A. Schumaker, M.H. Schwendener, D. Scislowski, J. Secrest, M. Seddighin, L. Segui, S. Seibert, T. Shantz, T.M. Shokair, L. Sibley, J.R. Sinclair, K. Singh, P. Skensved, A. Sörensen, T. Sonley, R. Stainforth, M. Strait, M.I. Stringer, R. Svoboda, J. Tatar, L. Tian, N. Tolich, J. Tseng, H.W.C. Tseung, R. Van Berg, E. Vázquez-Jáuregui, C. Virtue, B. von Krosigk, J.M.G. Walker, M. Walker, O. Wasalski, J. Waterfield, R.F. White, J.R. Wilson, T.J. Winchester, A.

Wright, M. Yeh, Current status and future prospects of the SNO+ experiment, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2016 (2016) 1–21, http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/6194250.

- [9] P.A.N. Machado, T. Mühlbeier, H. Nunokawa, R.Z. Funchal, Potential of a neutrino detector in the ANDES underground laboratory for geophysics and astrophysics of neutrinos, Phys. Rev. D. 86 (2012) http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86. 125001.
- [10] J.F. Beacom, S. Chen, J. Cheng, S.N. Doustimotlagh, Y. Gao, G. Gong, H. Gong, L. Guo, R. Han, H.-J. He, X. Huang, J. Li, J. Li, M. Li, X. Li, W. Liao, G.-L. Lin, Z. Liu, W. McDonough, O. Šrámek, J. Tang, L. Wan, Y. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Wei, Y. Xi, Y. Xu, X.-J. Xu, Z. Yang, C. Yao, M. Yeh, Q. Yue, L. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Z. Zhao, Y. Zheng, X. Zhou, X. Zhu, K. Zuber, Physics prospects of the Jinping neutrino experiment, Chin. Phys. C 41 (2017) 023002, http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/41/2/023002.
- [11] T. Adam, F. An, G. An, Q. An, N. Anfimov, V. Antonelli, G. Baccolo, M. Baldoncini, E. Baussan, M. Bellato, L. Bezrukov, D. Bick, S. Blyth, S. Boarin, A. Brigatti, T. Brugière, R. Brugnera, M.B. Avanzini, J. Busto, A. Cabrera, H. Cai, X. Cai, A. Cammi, D. Cao, G. Cao, J. Cao, J. Chang, Y. Chang, M. Chen, P. Chen, Q. Chen, S. Chen, S. Chen, S. Chen, X. Chen, Y. Chen, Y. Cheng, D. Chiesa, A. Chukanov, M. Clemenza, B. Clerbaux, D. D'Angelo, H. de Kerret, Z. Deng, Z. Deng, X. Ding, Y. Ding, Z. Djurcic, S. Dmitrievsky, M. Dolgareva, D. Dornic, E. Doroshkevich, M. Dracos, O. Drapier, S. Dusini, M.A. Díaz, T. Enqvist, D. Fan, C. Fang, J. Fang, X. Fang, L. Favart, D. Fedoseev, G. Fiorentini, R. Ford, A. Formozov, R. Gaigher, H. Gan, A. Garfagnini, G. Gaudiot, C. Genster, M. Giammarchi, F. Giuliani, M. Gonchar, G. Gong, H. Gong, M. Gonin, Y. Gornushkin, M. Grassi, C. Grewing, V. Gromov, M. Gu, M. Guan, V. Guarino, W. Guo, X. Guo, Y. Guo, M. Göger-Neff, P. Hackspacher, C. Hagner, R. Han, Z. Han, J. Hao, M. He, D. Hellgartner, Y. Heng, D. Hong, S. Hou, Y. Hsiung, B. Hu, J. Hu, S. Hu, T. Hu, W. Hu, H. Huang, X. Huang, X. Huang, L. Huo, W. Huo, A. Ioannisian, D. Ioannisyan, M. Jeitler, K. Jen, S. Jetter, X. Ji, X. Ji, S. Jian, D. Jiang, X. Jiang, C. Jollet, M. Kaiser, B. Kan, L. Kang, M. Karagounis, N. Kazarian, S. Kettell, D. Korablev, A. Krasnoperov, S. Krokhaleva, Z. Krumshtevn, A. Kruth, P. Kuusiniemi, T. Lachenmaier, L. Lei, R. Lei, X. Lei, R. Leitner, F. Lenz, C. Li, F. Li, F. Li, J. Li, N. Li, S. Li, T. Li, W. Li, W. Li, X. Li, X. Li, X. Li, X. Li, Y. Li, Y. Li, Z. Li, H. Liang, H. Liang, J. Liang, M. Licciardi, G. Lin, S. Lin, T. Lin, Y. Lin, I. Lippi, G. Liu, H. Liu, H. Liu, J. Liu, J. Liu, J. Liu, Q. Liu, Q. Liu, S. Liu, S. Liu, Y. Liu, P. Lombardi, Y. Long, S. Lorenz, C. Lu, F. Lu, H. Lu, J. Lu, J. Lu, J. Lu, B. Lubsandorzhiev, S. Lubsandorzhiev, L. Ludhova, F. Luo, S. Luo, Z. Lv, V. Lyashuk, Q. Ma, S. Ma, X. Ma, X. Ma, Y. Malyshkin, F. Mantovani, Y. Mao, S. Mari, D. Mayilyan, W. McDonough, G. Meng, A. Meregaglia, F. Meroni, M. Mezzetto, J. Min, L. Miramonti, M. Montuschi, N. Morozov, T. Mueller, P. Muralidharan, M. Nastasi, D. Naumov, E. Naumova, I. Nemchenok, Z. Ning, H. Nunokawa, L. Oberauer, J.P. Ochoa-Ricoux, A. Olshevskiy, F. Ortica, H. Pan, A. Paoloni, N. Parkalian, S. Parmeggiano, V. Pec, N. Pelliccia, H. Peng, P. Poussot, S. Pozzi, E. Previtali, S. Prummer, F. Qi, M. Qi, S. Qian, X. Qian, H. Qiao, Z. Qin, G. Ranucci, A. Re, B. Ren, J. Ren, T. Rezinko, B. Ricci, M. Robens, A. Romani, B. Roskovec, X. Ruan, X. Ruan, A. Rybnikov, A. Sadovsky, P. Saggese, G. Salamanna, J. Sawatzki, J. Schuler, A. Selyunin, G. Shi, J. Shi, Y. Shi, V. Sinev, C. Sirignano, M. Sisti, O. Smirnov, M. Soiron, A. Stahl, L. Stanco, J. Steinmann, V. Strati, G. Sun, X. Sun, Y. Sun, Y. Sun, D. Taichenachev, J. Tang, A. Tietzsch, I. Tkachev, W.H. Trzaska, Y. Tung, S. van Waasen, C. Volpe, V. Vorobel, L. Votano, C. Wang, C. Wang, C. Wang, G. Wang, H. Wang, M. Wang, R. Wang, S. Wang, W. Wang, W. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. Wang, W. Wei, Y. Wei, M. Weifels, L. Wen, Y. Wen, C. Wiebusch, S. Wipperfurth, S.C. Wong, B. Wonsak, C. Wu, Q. Wu, Z. Wu, M. Wurm, J. Wurtz, Y. Xi, D. Xia, J. Xia, M. Xiao, Y. Xie, J. Xu, J. Xu, L. Xu, Y. Xu, B. Yan, X. Yan, C. Yang, C. Yang, H. Yang, L. Yang, M. Yang, Y. Yang, Y. Yang, Y. Yang, E. Yanovich, Y. Yao, M. Ye, X. Ye, U. Yegin, F. Yermia, Z. You, B. Yu, C. Yu, C. Yu, G. Yu, Z. Yu, Y. Yuan, Z. Yuan, M. Zanetti, P. Zeng, S. Zeng, T. Zeng, L. Zhan, C. Zhang, F. Zhang, G. Zhang, H. Zhang, J. Zhang, J. Zhang, J. Zhang, K. Zhang, P. Zhang, Q. Zhang, T. Zhang, X. Zhang, X. Zhang, Y. Zha Y. Zhang, Z. Zhang, Z. Zhang, J. Zhao, M. Zhao, T. Zhao, Y. Zhao, H. Zheng, M. Zheng, X. Zheng, Y. Zheng, W. Zhong, G. Zhou, J. Zhou, L. Zhou, N. Zhou, R. Zhou, S. Zhou, W. Zhou, X. Zhou, Y. Zhou, H. Zhu, K. Zhu, H. Zhuang, L. Zong, J. Zou, JUNO Conceptual Design Report, ArXiv150807166 Hep-Ex Physicsphysics. (2015). http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.07166 (accessed 23.05.18).
- [12] C. Aberle, C. Buck, B. Gramlich, F.X. Hartmann, M. Lindner, S. Schönert, U. Schwan, S. Wagner, H. Watanabe, Large scale Gd-beta-diketonate based organic liquid scintillator production for antineutrino detection, J. Instrum. 7 (2012) http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/7/06/P06008, P06008–P06008.
- [13] Y. Ding, Z. Zhang, J. Liu, Z. Wang, P. Zhou, Y. Zhao, A new gadolinium-loaded liquid scintillator for reactor neutrino detection, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 584 (2008) 238–243, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2007.09.044.
- [14] J.B. Benziger, M. Johnson, F.P. Calaprice, M. Chen, N. Darnton, R. Loeser, R.B. Vogelaar, A scintillator purification system for a large scale solar neutrino experiment, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 417 (1998) 278–296, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/S0168-9002(98)00767-0.
- [15] J. Benziger, L. Cadonati, F. Calaprice, M. Chen, A. Corsi, F. Dalnoki-Veress, R. Fernholz, R. Ford, C. Galbiati, A. Goretti, E. Harding, A. Ianni, A. Ianni, S. Kidner, M. Leung, F. Loeser, K. McCarty, D. McKinsey, A. Nelson, A. Pocar, C. Salvo, D. Schimizzi, T. Shutt, A. Sonnenschein, A scintillator purification system for the

Borexino solar neutrino detector, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 587 (2008) 277–291, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2007.12.043.

- [16] M. Yeh, A. Garnov, R.L. Hahn, Gadolinium-loaded liquid scintillator for highprecision measurements of antineutrino oscillations and the mixing angle, θ13, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 578 (2007) 329–339, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1016/j.nima.2007.03.029.
- [17] Borexino Collaboration, G. Bellini, J. Benziger, D. Bick, G. Bonfini, D. Bravo, M. Buizza Avanzini, B. Caccianiga, L. Cadonati, F. Calaprice, P. Cavalcante, A. Chavarria, A. Chepurnov, D. D'Angelo, S. Davini, A. Derbin, A. Empl, A. Etenko, K. Fomenko, D. Franco, F. Gabriele, C. Galbiati, S. Gazzana, C. Ghiano, M. Giammarchi, M. Göger-Neff, A. Goretti, L. Grandi, M. Gromov, C. Hagner, E. Hungerford, A. Ianni, A. Ianni, V. Kobychev, D. Korablev, G. Korga, D. Kryn, M. Laubenstein, T. Lewke, E. Litvinovich, B. Loer, F. Lombardi, P. Lombardi, L. Ludhova, G. Lukyanchenko, I. Machulin, S. Manecki, W. Maneschg, G. Manuzio, Q. Meindl, E. Meroni, L. Miramonti, M. Misiaszek, M. Montuschi, P. Mosteiro, V. Muratova, L. Oberauer, M. Obolensky, F. Ortica, K. Otis, M. Pallavicini, L. Papp, C. Pena-Garay, L. Perasso, S. Perasso, A. Pocar, G. Ranucci, A. Razeto, A. Re, A. Romani, N. Rossi, R. Saldanha, C. Salvo, S. Schönert, H. Simgen, M. Skorokhvatov, O. Smirnov, A. Sotnikov, S. Sukhotin, Y. Suvorov, R. Tartaglia, G. Testera, D. Vignaud, R.B. Vogelaar, F. von Feilitzsch, J. Winter, M. Wojcik, A. Wright, M. Wurm, J. Xu, O. Zaimidoroga, S. Zavatarelli, G. Zuzel, Final results of Borexino Phase-I on low-energy solar neutrino spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.112007.
- [18] G. Keefer, C. Grant, A. Piepke, T. Ebihara, H. Ikeda, Y. Kishimoto, Y. Kibe, Y. Koseki, M. Ogawa, J. Shirai, S. Takeuchi, C. Mauger, C. Zhang, G. Schweitzer, B.E. Berger, S. Dazeley, M.P. Decowski, J.A. Detwiler, Z. Djurcic, D.A. Dwyer, Y. Efremenko, S. Enomoto, S.J. Freedman, B.K. Fujikawa, K. Furuno, A. Gando, Y. Gando, G. Gratta, S. Hatakeyama, K.M. Heeger, L. Hsu, K. Ichimura, K. Inoue, T. Iwamoto, Y. Kamyshkov, H.J. Karwowski, M. Koga, A. Kozlov, C.E. Lane, J.G Learned, J. Maricic, D.M. Markoff, S. Matsuno, D. McKee, R.D. McKeown, T. Miletic, T. Mitsui, M. Motoki, K. Nakajima, K. Nakajima, K. Nakamura, T. O'Donnell, H. Ogawa, F. Piquemal, J.-S. Ricol, I. Shimizu, F. Suekane, A. Suzuki, R. Svoboda, O. Tajima, Y. Takemoto, K. Tamae, K. Tolich, W. Tornow, H. Watanabe, H. Watanabe, L.A. Winslow, S. Yoshida, Laboratory studies on the removal of radon-born lead from KamLAND s organic liquid scintillator, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 769 (2015) 79–87, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2014.09.050.
- [19] Double Chooz Collaboration, Y. Abe, C. Aberle, J.C. dos Anjos, J.C. Barriere, M. Bergevin, A. Bernstein, T.J.C. Bezerra, L. Bezrukhov, E. Blucher, N.S. Bowden, C. Buck, J. Busenitz, A. Cabrera, E. Caden, L. Camilleri, R. Carr, M. Cerrada, P.-J. Chang, P. Chimenti, T. Classen, A.P. Collin, E. Conover, J.M. Conrad, J.I. Crespo-Anadón, K. Crum, A. Cucoanes, M.V. D'Agostino, E. Damon, J.V. Dawson, S. Dazeley, D. Dietrich, Z. Diurcic, M. Dracos, V. Durand, J. Ebert, Y. Efremenko, M. Elnimr, A. Etenko, M. Fallot, M. Fechner, F. von Feilitzsch, J. Felde, D. Franco, A.J. Franke, M. Franke, H. Furuta, R. Gama, I. Gil-Botella, L. Giot, M. Göger-Neff, L.F.G. Gonzalez, M.C. Goodman, J.T. Goon, D. Greiner, N. Haag, C. Hagner, T. Hara, F.X. Hartmann, J. Haser, A. Hatzikoutelis, T. Hayakawa, M. Hofmann, G.A. Horton-Smith, A. Hourlier, M. Ishitsuka, J. Jochum, C. Jollet, C.L. Jones, F. Kaether, L.N. Kalousis, Y. Kamyshkov, D.M. Kaplan, T. Kawasaki, G. Keefer, E. Kemp, H. de Kerret, Y. Kibe, T. Konno, D. Kryn, M. Kuze, T. Lachenmaier, C.E. Lane, C. Langbrandtner, T. Lasserre, A. Letourneau, D. Lhuillier, H.P. Lima, M. Lindner, J.M. López-Castanõ, J.M. LoSecco, B.K. Lubsandorzhiev, S. Lucht, D. McKee, J. Maeda, C.N. Maesano, C. Mariani, J. Maricic, J. Martino, T. Matsubara, G. Mention, A. Meregaglia, T. Miletic, R. Milincic, H. Miyata, T.A. Mueller, Y. Nagasaka, K. Nakajima, P. Novella, M. Obolensky, L. Oberauer, A. Onillon, A. Osborn, I. Ostrovskiy, C. Palomares, I.M. Pepe, S. Perasso, P. Perrin, P. Pfahler, A. Porta, W. Potzel, J. Reichenbacher, B. Reinhold, A. Remoto, M. Röhling, R. Roncin, S. Roth, Y. Sakamoto, R. Santorelli, F. Sato, S. Schönert, S. Schoppmann, T. Schwetz, M.H. Shaevitz, S. Shimojima, D. Shrestha, J.-L. Sida, V. Sinev, M. Skorokhvatov, E. Smith, J. Spitz, A. Stahl, I. Stancu, L.F.F. Stokes, M. Strait, A. Stüken, F. Suekane, S. Sukhotin, T. Sumiyoshi, Y. Sun, R. Svoboda, K. Terao, A. Tonazzo, M. Toups, H.H. Trinh Thi, G. Valdiviesso, C. Veyssiere, S. Wagner, H. Watanabe, B. White, C. Wiebusch, L. Winslow, M. Worcester, M. Wurm, F. Yermia, V. Zimmer, Reactor \bar{v} e disappearance in the Double Chooz experiment, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.052008.
- [20] Y. Boxiang, Liquid Scintillator purification study for JUNO, (2017). https://indico. cern.ch/event/606690/contributions/2655427/.
- [21] W. Beriguete, J. Cao, Y. Ding, S. Hans, K.M. Heeger, L. Hu, A. Huang, K.-B. Luk, I. Nemchenok, M. Qi, R. Rosero, H. Sun, R. Wang, Y. Wang, L. Wen, Y. Yang, M. Yeh, Z. Zhang, L. Zhou, Production of a gadolinium-loaded liquid scintillator for the Daya Bay reactor neutrino experiment, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 763 (2014) 82–88, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2014.05.119.
- [22] M. Giammarchi, M. Balata, A. Goretti, A. Ianni, L. Ioannucci, L. Miramonti, S. Nisi, Water purification in Borexino, in: 2013: pp. 209–212. http://dx.doi.org.10.1063/ 1.4818110.
- [23] G. Alimonti, C. Arpesella, M.B. Avanzini, H. Back, M. Balata, D. Bartolomei, A. de Bellefon, G. Bellini, J. Benziger, A. Bevilacqua, D. Bondi, S. Bonetti, A. Brigatti, B. Caccianiga, L. Cadonati, F. Calaprice, C. Carraro, G. Cecchet, R. Cereseto, A.

Chavarria, M. Chen, A. Chepurnov, A. Cubaiu, W. Czech, D. D'Angelo, F. Dalnoki-Veress, S. Davini, A. De Bari, E. De Haas, A. Derbin, M. Deutsch, A. Di Credico, A. Di Ludovico, G. Di Pietro, R. Eisenstein, F. Elisei, A. Etenko, F. von Feilitzsch, R. Fernholz, K. Fomenko, R. Ford, D. Franco, B. Freudiger, N. Gaertner, C. Galbiati, F. Gatti, S. Gazzana, V. Gehman, M. Giammarchi, D. Giugni, M. Goeger-Neff, T. Goldbrunner, A. Golubchikov, A. Goretti, C. Grieb, E. Guardincerri, C. Hagner, T. Hagner, W. Hampel, E. Harding, S. Hardy, F.X. Hartmann, R. von Hentig, T. Hertrich, G. Heusser, M. Hult, A. Ianni, A. Ianni, L. Ioannucci, K. Jaenner, M. Joyce, H. de Kerret, S. Kidner, J. Kiko, T. Kirsten, V. Kobychev, G. Korga, G. Korschinek, Y. Kozlov, D. Kryn, P. La Marche, V. Lagomarsino, M. Laubenstein, C. Lendvai, M. Leung, T. Lewke, E. Litvinovich, B. Loer, F. Loeser, P. Lombardi, L. Ludhova, I. Machulin, S. Malvezzi, A. Manco, J. Maneira, W. Maneschg, I. Manno, D. Manuzio, G. Manuzio, M. Marchelli, A. Marternianov, F. Masetti, U. Mazzucato, K. McCarty, D. McKinsey, Q. Meindl, E. Meroni, L. Miramonti, M. Misiaszek, D. Montanari, M.E. Monzani, V. Muratova, P. Musico, H. Neder, A. Nelson, L. Niedermeier, S. Nisi, L. Oberauer, M. Obolensky, M. Orsini, F. Ortica, M. Pallavicini, L. Papp, R. Parsells, S. Parmeggiano, M. Parodi, N. Pelliccia, L. Perasso, S. Perasso, A. Pocar, R. Raghavan, G. Ranucci, W. Rau, A. Razeto, E. Resconi, P. Risso, A. Romani, D. Rountree, A. Sabelnikov, P. Saggese, R. Saldanha, C. Salvo, R. Scardaoni, D. Schimizzi, S. Schonert, K.H. Schubeck, T. Shutt, F. Siccardi, H. Simgen, M. Skorokhvatov, O. Smirnov, A. Sonnenschein, F. Soricelli, A. Sotnikov, S. Sukhotin, C. Sule, Y. Suvorov, V. Tarasenkov, R. Tartaglia, G. Testera, D. Vignaud, S. Vitale, R.B. Vogelaar, V. Vvrodov, B. Williams, M. Woicik, R. Wordel, M. Wurm, O. Zaimidoroga, S. Zavatarelli, G. Zuzel, The liquid handling systems for the borexino solar neutrino detector, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 609 (2009) 58-78, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2009.07.028.

[24] Y. Kishimoto, Liquid scintillator purification, in: AIP, 2005, pp. 193–198, http: //dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2060471.

- [25] S. Abe, T. Ebihara, S. Enomoto, K. Furuno, Y. Gando, K. Ichimura, H. Ikeda, K. Inoue, Y. Kibe, Y. Kishimoto, M. Koga, A. Kozlov, Y. Minekawa, T. Mitsui, K. Nakajima, K. Nakajima, K. Nakamura, M. Nakamura, K. Owada, I. Shimizu, Y. Shimizu, J. Shirai, F. Suekane, A. Suzuki, Y. Takemoto, K. Tamae, A. Terashima, H. Watanabe, E. Yonezawa, S. Yoshida, J. Busenitz, T. Classen, C. Grant, G. Keefer, D.S. Leonard, D. McKee, A. Piepke, M.P. Decowski, J.A. Detwiler, S.J. Freedman, B.K. Fujikawa, F. Gray, E. Guardincerri, L. Hsu, R. Kadel, C. Lendvai, K.-B. Luk, H. Murayama, T. O'Donnell, H.M. Steiner, L.A. Winslow, D.A. Dwyer, C. Jillings, C. Mauger, R.D. McKeown, P. Vogel, C. Zhang, B.E. Berger, C.E. Lane, J. Maricic, T. Miletic, M. Batygov, J.G. Learned, S. Matsuno, S. Pakvasa, J. Foster, G.A. Horton-Smith, A. Tang, S. Dazeley, K.E. Downum, G. Gratta, K. Tolich, W. Bugg, Y. Efremenko, Y. Kamyshkov, O. Perevozchikov, H.J. Karwowski, D.M. Markoff, W. Tornow, K.M. Heeger, F. Piquemal, J.-S. Ricol, Precision measurement of neutrino oscillation parameters with KamLAND, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.221803.
- [26] J.S. Park, J. Lee, I.S. Yeo, W.Q. Choi, J.K. Ahn, J.H. Choi, S. Choi, Y. Choi, H.I. Jang, J.S. Jang, E.J. Jeon, K.K. Joo, B.R. Kim, H.S. Kim, J.Y. Kim, S.B. Kim, S.Y. Kim, W. Kim, Y.D. Kim, J.H. Lee, J.K. Lee, I.T. Lim, K.J. Ma, M.Y. Pac, I.G. Park, K.S. Park, K. Siyeon, S.H. So, S.S. Stepanyan, I. Yu, Production and optical properties of Gde loaded liquid scintillator for the RENO neutrino detector, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 707 (2013) 45–53, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2012.12.121.
- [27] U.S. Department of Defence, Military Standard: Product cleanliness levels and contamination control program, (n.d.). https://snebulos.mit.edu/projects/reference/ MIL-STD/MIL-STD-1246C.pdf.
- [28] Directive 2014/32/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council, Off. J. Eur. Union. (2014).
- [29] A. Birolini, Reliability Engineering: Theory and Practice, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2004, http://public.eblib.com/choice/publicfullrecord. aspx?p=3099745 (accessed 25.05.18).