# RICH TEST-BEAM: MC

Marco Contalbrigo, Luca Barion, Aram Movsisyan, Paolo Lenisa, & Luciano Pappalardo INFN Ferrara

Rich Meeting, Jlab - 20 February 2013

# **Simulation Optimization**

#### Geometry

- same PMTs configuration file of DATA
- beam trajectory taken from GEMs
- box 0.5 degrees rotation with respect to the beam
- Aerogel optical properties
  - measured transmission
  - refractive index
  - measured dispersion (direct light data with filters)
- MA-PMTs digitalization
  - 🖌 🖌 dead area
  - double hits  $\rightarrow$  take the OR
  - PMTs global efficiency
  - cross-talk
  - 🖌 gain

#### **Beam Tracks**



# **Beam Profile**



### **Aerogel Characterizartion**



Contalbrigo M.

Rich Meeting, 20th February 2013, JLab

#### Mean Radius



Novosibirsk defines:  $n^2$  (at 400 nm) =1+0.438\* $\rho$ 

The tile used has  $\rho = 0.230 \text{ g/cm}^3 \rightarrow \text{n} = 1.0492$ 

## **Pixelization**



Contalbrigo M.

Rich Meeting, 20<sup>th</sup> February 2013, JLab

# Pixelization



Contalbrigo M.

Rich Meeting, 20<sup>th</sup> February 2013, JLab

# Gain Spread

Accounted only at PMT (not pixel) level so far

H8500 SN DA0269 - Global Efficiency Map



#### **PMTs Hit Pattern**

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Backgroun Hits**

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### DATA vs MC

MC (GEM values)

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Pixel Residual Distributions**

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Pixel Residuals**

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Contalbrigo M.

# Single photon Cherenkov-angle resolution

From photon emission point 1.5 mrad

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

From photon emission point 1.5 mrad

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **RICH TEST-BEAM: SIPM**

Marco Contalbrigo, Luca Barion, Roberto Malaguti, Aram Movsisyan, Paolo Lenisa, Luciano Pappalardo & Stefano Squerzanti INFN Ferrara

Rich Meeting, Jlab - 20 February 2013

# **The SiPM Prototype**

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

# SiPM Signals @ -25°

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

# The Commercial SiPM Matrix @ -25°

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

For a 12 cm radius Cherenkov cone and a 3 mm SiPM pixel, an occupancy of 4 % corresponds to about 24 p.e.

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

# The Custom SiPM Matrix@-25°

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

# The Commercial SiPM Matrix @ +25°

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

For a 12 cm radius Cherenkov cone and a 3 mm SiPM pixel, an occupancy of 4 % corresponds to about 24 p.e.

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

# The Custom SiPM Matrix @ +25°

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Average Number of Hits per Event**

	Device	Т	Hits per event	N p.e.
	Good Pixels	-25°	0.04	22.6
	Good Pixels	+25°	0.04	22.6
	Matrix 1	- <b>2</b> 5°	0.770	24.2
	Matrix 2	-25°	0.320	26.8
	Matrix 3	-25°	0.223	22.4
Cor Coc	nclusion: pled SiPM are a valio	Consistent with a factor in QE with respect H85		