

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare



Università degli Studi di Ferrara



Two techniques to enhance particle reconstruction in JUNO: Liquid Scintillator purification and Waveform analysis

Michele Montuschi

Supervisor: Prof. Fabio Mantovani

Ph.D. in Physics – Cycle XXXV – Ferrara, 17 March 2023

Summary

- JUNO Overview
- Liquid scintillator physics
- Technological challenges
- Distillation Plant
- Steam Stripping Plant
- Realization and assembly
- Conclusions



Jiangmen Underground Neutrino Observatory

Central detector (CD):

- Stainless steel latticed shell ID=40.1 m
- Acrylic sphere with 20 ktons Liquid Scintillator (LS)
- ID=35.4 m
- 17571 large PMTs (20-inch)
- 25600 small PMTs (3-inch)
- 78% PMT coverage

Water Cherenkov muon veto:

- 2400 20" PMTs
- 35 ktons ultra-pure water
- Efficiency > 99%Compensation coils:
- Residual Earth magnetic field <10%
 Top tracker:
- Precision muon tracking
- 3 plastic scintillator layers
- Covering half of the top area



Experiment	Daya Bay	BOREXINO	KamLAND	JUNO
LS mass	8 X 20 ton	~300 ton	~1 kton	20 kton
Coverage	~ 12%	~ 34%	~ 34%	~ 78%
Energy Resolution	~ 8.5 %/VE [MeV]	~ 5 % /vE [MeV]	~ 6 % /vE [MeV]	~ 3 %/ vE [MeV]
Photon-Electron Yield	~160 p.e. /MeV	~500 p.e. /MeV	~250 p.e. /MeV	>1345 p.e. /MeV

Energy and Vertex reconstruction

The algorithm and the results are shown in the thesis

Absorption and Background reduction in Liquid Scintillator



Liquid Scintillator

Liquid Solvent: Linear Alkyl Benzene (LAB), forms the bulk of the target material and is excited by the ionizing particles.

Doping material: A two-component system of a fluor (PPO) and a wavelengthshifter (Bis-MSB) increase the wavelength of the emitted photons to 430 nm avoids spectral self-absorption and increase Quantum Efficiency (QE)

%

Efficiency /

Quantum

35

30

25

20

15

10

200

300

Default PPO QE

---- Default bis-MSB QE

- Tuned bis-MSB QE

bis-MSB emission

500 550 600

Wavelength[nm]

PPO emission

..... Tuned PPO QE

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2020.164823



400

500

Wavelength / nm

5912-100

600

PMT QE

700

800



Requirement for JUNO Liquid Scintillator (LS)

450

High Light yield: >1345 p.e./MeV

400

LS Emission

8 O.8

End of the second secon

0.2

()

300

350

Recipe: Solvent: LAB / **Doping**: 2.5 g/L PPO + 3 mg/L bis-MSB

light emission

→ 430nm, τ≈4.4ns

Background suppression

The main radio impurities in the liquid scintillator can be divided in: Heavy Elements: ²³⁸U, ²³²Th, ⁴⁰K, Volatile Elements: ²²²Rn,⁸⁵Kr, ³⁹Ar

- Dust particles containing elements of the natural U/Th decay chains as well as radioactive potassium ⁴⁰K.
- Radon emanation due to tank and piping surface.
- Ambient air can introduce ⁸⁵Kr, ³⁹Ar, ²²²Rn
- ²¹⁰Po from surface contaminations, distilled water or other unknown sources.
- **Radioimpurities of the fluors**, mostly ⁴⁰K.
- **Radioactive carbon** ¹⁴C intrinsic to the hydrocarbons of the LS.

Requirements	²³⁸ U	²³² Th	²²⁶ Ra	²⁴⁰ K	²¹⁰ Pb(²²² Rn)	⁸⁵ Kr/ ³⁹ Ar
Mass Hierarchy	10 ⁻¹⁵ g/g	10 ⁻¹⁵ g/g	-	10 ⁻¹⁶ g/g	10 ⁻²² g/g	-
Solar Neutrino	10 ⁻¹⁷ g/g	10 ⁻¹⁷ g/g	5·10 ⁻²⁴ g/g	10 ⁻¹⁸ g/g	10 ⁻²⁴ g/g	1 μBq/m³

Solutions

- **Distillation:** separate substances with different volatility.
- Water Extraction: separate substances with higher solubility in water than in LAB.
- Stripping: separate substances with different solubility in a gas stream



https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1508.07166

Absorption spectra



The JUNO LS mass will be 20,000 tons, resulting in a **target volume diameter of 35.4 m**. However, if light transmission in the liquid scintillator is low, scintillation photons from a neutrino interaction at the center of the detector will be **absorbed** before reaching the photomultipliers located at the edge of the volume.

Requirement for JUNO Liquid Scintillator (LS)

- Long Attenuation length: > 22m
 Solutions
- Alumina Columns: increase optical properties
- **Distillation:** separate substances with different volatility.

Sample	Attenuation Length (m)			
Raw LAB	20.0			
Al2O3 purified LAB	23.3			
Distilled LAB	25.4			
Water Extracted LAB	24.6			
Steam Stripped LAB	24.4			

Pilot Plant @ DayaBay to test the purification capability



Technological challenges

Requirements

- Constant delivery of purified LS at 7000 l/h
- Underground laboratory
- Reduce the **risk of contaminating** the purified LS
- Reduce the formation of organic compound in the LS due to the high temperature
- Safety hazard (High pressure and high temperature)

Solution

- Industrial scale process
- Steam instead of nitrogen for stripping
- Energy recovery to reduce the power needed
- Processes under vacuum to reduce the boiling temperature and increase the efficiency
- SELO and PED standards
- OSIRIS for on-line purification monitoring



Distillation





Distillation – Feed flow





Distillation – Column





Distillation - Bottoms





Bottom discharge:

- LAB with high concentration of impurities
- 1-2% bottom column discharge
- Reprocessed to avoid waste

Distillation – Product flow





Distillation - Auxiliaries





Distillation – The full picture





Steam Stripping





Stripping – Feed flow





Stripping – Steam production





Stripping - Column





Stripping – Product flow





Stripping - Auxiliaries



E-203

Ext. Cooling Fluid

Pure Wate

Feed Tanl T-101 Stripping Column C-201

F-201 A/B

Steam Stripping – The full picture





Realization

- The plants were assembled in skids for an easier shipping and mounting
- Skids and tanks were assembled at Polaris s.r.l. (Misinto):
 - Realization of pipes and connections
 - Pre-assembly carried out horizontally
 - Disassembled system in single skids for shipping
- All connections and the most delicate parts have been assembled by us
- Every component and connection of the system has been tested:
 - Pneumatic and vacuum test
 - Roughness and cleaning quality control
 - Helium leak test
 - Single leak rate < 10⁻⁸ mbarL/s
 - Integral leak rate < 10⁻⁶ mbarL/s









Distillation plant construction

• Where:

installation in the Over Ground LS building

• When:

25 April 2022 - start of the Distillation plant installation5 May 2022 - end of "Phase 1" of Distillation plant installation

- 6 skids, 1 vertical tank, 1 horizontal tank, 1 pump skid
- Main issues:
 - Installation to be performed from the roof of the building using dedicated truck cranes (QY220T – 220 tons truck crane; QY25T – 25 tons truck crane)
 - Removal of the roof of the building
 - Some heavy and large flanges need to be mounted (DN500 DN1000 flanges)
 - Huge plant, a lot of components, to be aligned very precisely (1 mm)





Assembling of the distillation column and vertical tanks





Final Assembly





Stripping plant construction

• Where:

installation in the Underground LS building

• When:

6 May 2022 - start of the Stripping plant installation 14 May 2022 - end of "Phase 1" of Stripping plant installation

- 3 skids (1 vertical skid) and 2 vertical tanks
- Main issues: :
 - Transportation to underground through the slope tunnel to be booked and organized in advance
 - Few lifting devices in underground (uniaxial overhead crane of LS Hall). Vertical tanks moved to their installation position through a set of winches and ropes



Construction of the vertical tanks





Construction of the column









Steam stripping final assembly













I helped to design and to build a pilot LS purification system, focusing on the control system and operations. As a result, the LS absorption length increased to 24.4m and Rn content was reduced by 96%

Final Plant Design

Conclusions

I contributed to LS purification plant design, implementing the control system and writing the operative procedures. This allowed to comply with the European (PED and ATEX) & Chinese (SELO) safety standards and JUNO collaboration's physics/technical constraints (Flow = 7000 l/h, T<210 °C, energy recovery).





Conclusions

2019 Design 2021 Erection 2020 2020 2022 Assembly

Assembly

While assembling the LS purification plant, I tested the internal connections with a helium leak tester and assessed the cleanliness and roughness of tanks and piping inner surfaces. Electropolished surfaces had a <0.8 μ m mean roughness, and all flanges passed a helium leak test at a level better than 10⁻⁶ mbar l/s.

Construction

In March 2022, we successfully installed the Distillation Plant in the LS Hall and the Steam Stripping Plant in the Underground LS Hall at the JUNO site. I managed the operations following the erection procedures and mounted delicate interconnections, including large flanges on the hot side of the distillation column. The distillation and steam stripping plants were completed at the end of last year and are scheduled for commissioning in April 2023.





Reads



Peer reviewed papers:

- Abusleme, A., et al Calibration strategy of the JUNO experiment. J. High Energ. Phys. 2021, 4 (2021). (I.F.: 5.875)
- Marini, M. et al., FPGA Implementation of an NCO Based CDR for the JUNO Front-End Electronics, in IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, vol. 68, Aug. 2021, (I.F.: 1.679)
- Marini, M., et al. An Easily Integrable Industrial System for Gamma Spectroscopic Analysis and Traceability of Stones and Building Materials. Sensors, 21, 352 (2021). (1.F.: 3.576).
- Abusleme, A., et al. Feasibility and physics potential of detecting 8B solar neutrinos at JUNO. Chinese Physics C 45(2) (2021). DOI: 10.1088/1674-1137/abd92a (I.F.: 2.145).
- Abusleme, A., et al. Optimization of the JUNO liquid scintillator composition using a Daya Bay antineutrino detector. NIMA, 988, 164823 (2021). (I.F.: 1.455).
- Bellato, M., et al. Embedded readout electronics R&D for the large PMTs in the JUNO experiment. NIM-A, 985, 164600 (2021). (I.F.: 1.455).
- Abusleme A., et al., Prospects for detecting the diffuse supernova neutrino background with JUNO, J. of Cos. and Astropart. Physics, 2022(10) (2022) (I.F. =5.839), 10 October 2022
- Maino A., et al., Airborne Radiometric Surveys and Machine Learning Algorithms for Revealing Soil Texture, Remote Sensing, 14(15), 3814 (2022) (I.F. = 5.349), 08 August 2022
- Raptis K.G.C., et al., External effective dose from natural radiation for the Umbria region (Italy), Journal of Maps, 1-11 (2022) (I.F. = 2.657), 28 July 2022
- Finco A., et al., Combining Precision Viticulture Technologies and Economic Indices to Sustainable Water Use Management, Water, 14, 1493 (2022) (I.F. = 3.530), 06 May 2022
- Wang J., et al., Damping signatures at JUNO, a medium-baseline reactor neutrino oscillation experiment, Journal of High Energy Physics, 62 (2022) (I.F. = 6.379), 13 June 2022
- Abusleme A., et al., JUNO physics and detector, 123, 103927 (2022), (I.F. =12.425), 03 December 2021
- Abusleme A., et al., Radioactivity control strategy for the JUNO detector, Journal of High Energy Physics 2021, Article number: 102 (2021), (I.F. = 3.729), 15 November 202

Conferences and Disseminations:

- M. Montuschi on behalf of JUNO collaboration, <u>Challenges in the construction of large neutrino detectors: the JUNO case</u>, 23rd International Workshop on Neutrinos from Accelerators (NUFACT 2022), 06/08/2022
- M. Montuschi, et al., <u>A Web GIS tool for 3D visualization of bathymetric data</u>, EGU 2022, WIEN, GM6.10 Submarine Geology Session, 25/05/2022

BACK UP SLIDES

GOAL

- With Digital Signal Processing Technique we aim to
 - reduce Noise and Overshoot
 - Increase the Peaks Resolution
- Have a better reconstruction of the charge



EVENT BUILDING ALGORITHM





CHARGE RECONSTRUCTION ALGORITHM



Deconvolution Algorithm: Application of the Wiener Filter and the Deconvolution

Integration Algorithm: Detection of the Range of Integration and Charge Reconstruction

Processed Waveform



- Strong reduction of the overshoot
- Performance strongly depends on the Template and on the noise
- Better Peak Resolution, but it is still very hard to recognize every single peak if they are very closed in time
- Calculation of th_{mPE} scaling th_{SPE} with the ratio of the not filtered
 SPE reconstructed charge over the filtered and deconvolved SPE reconstructed charge
- Identification of the ROI (Region of Integration)

Energy and Vertex reconstruction







Why we waited for two months: ²²²Rn



- The ²²²Rn is a daughter of ²³⁸U, and their measurement methods are the same
- Huge ²²²Rn pollution from the water.
- 1*10⁻¹⁵ g/g ²³⁸U: 1*10⁻⁵ Bq/m³
- ²²²Rn's pollution was almost 5 orders high than ²³⁸U requirement
- So, wait.....

Rn Reduction

